Collisional and Radiative Energy Loss of a Heavy Quark in a QPG Plasma

J. Aichelin, PB. Gossiaux, V.Guiho

Subatech / Nantes/ France

- Collisional energy loss
- Dead cone for radiation from heavy quarks?
- Radiative energy lass
- Results for RHIC

Weak points of the existing calculations of coll energy loss

 R_{AA} or energy loss is determined by the elementary elastic scattering cross sections. q channel:

$$\frac{d\sigma_F}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{g^4}}{\pi (s - M^2)^2} \Big[\frac{(s - M^2)^2}{(t - \kappa \mathbf{m_D^2})^2} + \frac{s}{t - \kappa \mathbf{m_D^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \Big]$$

Neither g2= $4\pi \alpha(t)$ nor κm_D^2 = are well determined

But which κ is appropriate? κ =1 and α =.3: large K-factors are necessary to describe data

Is there a way to get a handle on α and κ ?

A) Debye mass

Loops are formed

PRC78 014904, 0901.0946

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ V(r) \sim \frac{\exp(-m_{_{D}}r)}{r} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{m}}_{\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}}$ regulates the long range

behaviour of the interaction



If t is small (<<T) : Born has to be replaced by a hard thermal loop (HTL) approach like in QED: (Braaten and Thoma PRD44 (91) 1298,2625)

For t>T Born approximation is ok

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{QED: the energy loss} \quad (\omega = \text{E-E'}) \\ & - \frac{d\text{E}}{d\textbf{x}} = \frac{1}{2Ev} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3 2k} \int \frac{d^3k'}{(2\pi)^3 2k'} \int \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'} \times (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p + k - p' - k') \sum \frac{n_i(k)}{d_i} |\mathcal{M}_i|^2 \omega \,. \end{aligned}$

Energy loss indep. of the artificial scale t* which separates the 2 regimes

Extension to QCD

HTL in QCD cross sections is too complicated for simulations

Idea: - Use HTL (t<t*) and Born (t>t*) amplitude to calculate dE/dx make sure that result does not depend on t*

⁻ use the cross section in Born approximation

$$\frac{d\sigma_F}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{g^4}}{\pi (s - M^2)^2} \Big[\frac{(s - M^2)^2}{(t - \mu)^2} + \frac{s}{t - \mu} + \frac{1}{2} \Big]$$

and determine μ in that way that HTL calculation and Born approximation give the same energy loss

In reality a bit more complicated: with Born matching region of t^{*} outside the range of validity of HTL (<T) -> add to Born a constant μ '

Constant coupling constant -> Analytical formula -> Phys.Rev.C78:014904 Running -> numerically

B) Running coupling constant

• Effective $\alpha_s(Q^2)$ (Dokshitzer 95, Brodsky 02)

Observable = effective coupling * Process dependent fct

 $\frac{1}{Q_u} \int_{|Q^2| \le Q_u^2} dQ \alpha_s(Q^2) \approx 0.5$ "Universality constrain" (Dokshitzer 02) helps reducing uncertainties: Our choice α_{eff} $\alpha_{qq}(\mathbf{r})$ $\alpha_{00}(\mathbf{r})$ $T/T_c \approx 1.45$ $T/T_c \approx 1.1$ 3.0 /=U $\lambda = 0$ 1.02.5 S-L T-L0.8 2.0 0.6 1.5 nf=3 0 /8 0.4 1.0 .6 0.5 0.2 $\lambda = 1$ 0.4 r [fm] r[f 0.1 0.2 0.5 1.0 0.2 0.5 1.0 0.10.2 Comp w lattice results Q^2 (GeV²) PRD71,114510 2 -2 1 -1

Large values for intermediate momentumtransfer IR safe. The detailed form very close to Q² = 0 is not important does not contribute to the energy loss 15



Au + Au @ 200 AGeV

. c,b-quark transverse-space distribution according to Glauber

• c,b-quark transverse momentum distribution as in d-Au (STAR)... seems very similar to p-p (FONLL) \Rightarrow Cronin effect included.

• c,b-quark rapidity distribution according to R.Vogt (Int.J.Mod.Phys. E12 (2003) 211-270).

• QGP evolution: 4D / Need local quantities such as T(x,t) \Rightarrow taken from hydro dynamical evolution (<u>Heinz & Kolb</u>)

•D meson produced via coalescence mechanism. (at the transition temperature we pick a u/d quark with the a thermal distribution) but other scenarios possible.

RAA= dn/dp_{+} (AA)/(dn/dp_{+} (pp)* N_{bin})



Central and minimum bias events described by the same parameters. The new approach reduces the K- factor

K=12 -> K=2

 p_T > 2 bottom dominated!! more difficult to stop, compatible with experiment

Difference between b and c becomes smaller in minimum bias events

Centrality dependence of integrated yield



minimum bias out of plane distribution $v_2 = \langle \cos 2\varphi \rangle$

 \mathbf{v}_2 lept



Deadcone and Radiative Energy Loss

Low mass quarks : radiation is the dominant energy loss process Charm and bottom: radiation should be of the same order as collisional



straight forward calculation is of little use: 5 dim numerical integration result not transparent

To come to a transparent form:

- interest: energy loss of large p_+ quarks -> leading order in \sqrt{s}
- spinor QCD and scalar QCD calculations are in leading order rather similar: corrections of the order $(\omega/E)^2$

$$M_{QCD} = M_{SQCD} \left(1 - \frac{(\omega/E)^2}{1 - \omega/E}\right)$$

because radiation is dominated by

 $\omega/E << 1$

we can calculate M_{QCD} in scalar QCD (SQCD)

- light cone gauge (only 2 diagrams contribute)
- proper choice of the coordinate system

 M_{SQCD} in light cone gauge



no emission from light q

m=0 -> Gunion Bertsch M_{QED}:

$$q_t \to \omega/E \cdot q_t$$

low k_t emission : Dead cone ?

The gauge invariant QCD matrix elements does not suppress completely radiation off heavy quarks at small k_t:



No real dead cone but strong suppression (as compared to light q)

radiative energy loss

Phase space limitation not serious k_{t} integration up to $k_{t} = \infty$

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma^{qQ \to qQg}}{d^{2}k_{t} dx d^{2}q_{t}} d^{2}k_{t} = 6\pi \left(\frac{\left(q_{t}^{2} + 2m^{2}x^{2}\right)\log\left(\frac{q_{t}^{4} + 4m^{2}x^{2}q_{t}^{2} + \sqrt{q_{t}^{4} + 4m^{2}x^{2}q_{t}^{2}}q_{t}^{2}}{m^{2}x^{2}\left(\sqrt{q_{t}^{4} + 4m^{2}x^{2}q_{t}^{2}} - q_{t}^{2}\right)} + 1\right)}{2\sqrt{q_{t}^{4} + 4m^{2}x^{2}q_{t}^{2}}} - 1\right)$$

After q_t integration: energy loss per collision:

$$x\frac{d\sigma}{dx} = (\omega/E)\frac{d\sigma}{d(\omega/E)} \approx \frac{4C_F\alpha_S^3}{3}\frac{\log(\frac{3x^2m^2}{m_d^2})}{x^2m^2}$$

Describes in between 15% the numerical results

radiative energy loss

Energy loss per unit length

$$\frac{dE}{dz} = \int d^3k \ \rho_k \int \Delta E \frac{d\sigma}{dx} \ dx = \int d^3k \ \rho_k E \int x \frac{d\sigma}{dx}$$



Results I



- Too large quenching (but very sensitive to freeze out)
- 2. Radiative Eloss indeed dominates the collisional one
- 3. Flat experimental shape is well reproduced

separated contributions $e \leftarrow D$ and $e \leftarrow B$.

Results II



- Collisionnal + radiative energy loss + dynamical medium : *compatible* with data
- 2. Shape for radiative E loss and *rescaled* collisional E loss are pretty similar
- 3. To our knowledge, one of the first model using radiative Eloss that reproduces v₂

Formation time of the gluons



Formation time of the gluons



Comparing the formation time with the mean free path:

Coherence effect for HQ gluon radiation : \Leftrightarrow

$$\frac{E}{M} \gtrsim m_g \lambda_Q \sim \frac{1}{g_s}$$



Conclusions

All experimental data are compatible with the assumption that QCD describes

energy loss and elliptic flow $v_{\rm 2}$

observed in heavy ion collisions. Specials features : running coupling constant adjusted Debye mass

Description of the expansion of the medium (freeze out, initial cond) influences the results enormously (->studies in progress)

Refinements still necessary LPM Running coupling constant for gluon emission vertex

Treatment of frequent collisions with low momentum transfer

Monte Carlo Implementation

I) For each collision with a given q_{\perp} , we define the conditional probability of radiation:



In practice, w_{min}=5% E to avoid IR catastrophy

II) For each collision with a given invariant mass squared s, we define the conditional *total* probability of radiation:



Probes the elastic cross section at larger values of t => less sensitive to a_{eff} at small t-values



Monte Carlo Implementation

III) For a given HQ energy E, we sample the entrance channel according to the thermal distribution of light quarks and gluons and $s_{\rm el}(s)$ and accept according to $\tilde{r}(s)$ the conditional probability

