

Chapter 1 : SECOND QUANTIZATION

Readings and **lectures** ...

You are going to read the chapter at home.

I will go over some of the calculations in class, but not all of them.

The purpose of the lectures is to understand the results, but not always to derive them in detail.

You should read the material before the lecture so that you will know the notations.

1. THE SCHROEDINGER EQUATION IN FIRST AND SECOND QUANTIZATION

Many body theory in first quantization

Start with

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^N T(\mathbf{x}_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{k,l=1}^N V(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_l);$$

then find $i\hbar (\partial f / \partial t)$ where f is the *amplitude for occupation numbers*

$$f_N (n_1 n_2 \dots n_i \dots n_{\infty}).$$

Notation

\sum' : here the prime means $l \neq k$

The first quantized theory

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^N T(x_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^N V(x_k, x_l)$$

$$T(x_k) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_k^2 ; \quad T(x_k) \text{ is a "one-body operator"}$$

$$V(x_k, x_l) = \text{interaction potential;} \\ \text{a "two-body operator"}$$

Notations

- Index k labels one of the N particles; the set of k values is $\{k\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k, \dots, N\}$

- x_k is a complete set of coordinates for particle k . For example, for an electron

$$x_k = (x_k, y_k, z_k, \xi_k)$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{\text{Cartesian coordinates;}} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{\text{Spin coordinate;}} \\ \in (0, L) \quad \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$

To Solve: $i\hbar \partial \Psi / \partial t = H \Psi$
where $\Psi = \Psi(x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots x_k \dots x_N)$

Introduce a complete set of time-independent single-particle wave functions

Single-particle states

For a single particle with coordinates x we have $\Psi_E(x)$ when $E =$ a set of quantum numbers for the particle.

For example, consider electrons in a box ($V = L^3$) with periodic boundary conditions

$$E = (p_x, p_y, p_z, s_z)$$

$$\Psi_E(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} e^{i \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} / \hbar} u_{s_z}$$

The periodic B.C.'s imply

$$p_x = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} n_x \quad \text{where } n_x \text{ is an integer}$$

$$p_y = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} n_y \quad \text{and} \quad p_z = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} n_z$$

$$\text{Also, } u_{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad u_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The single-particle states must be complete.

Completeness: We can expand the N-particle wave function as a product of single-particle wave functions --

Since the single-particle states are complete, we can expand $\Psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$ as

$$\sum_{E'_1} \sum_{E'_2} \dots \sum_{E'_N} C(E'_1, E'_2, \dots, E'_N; t) \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E'_k}(x_k)$$

Or, in a shorter notation

$$\Psi(\{x\}; t) = \sum_{\{E'\}} C(\{E'\}; t) \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E'_k}(x_k)$$

By the orthonormality of s.p. states

$$C(\{E\}; t) = \int \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E_k}^\dagger(x_k) \Psi(\{x\}; t) \prod_k (dx)_k$$

$$(dx)_k = d^3x_k \sum_{\mathbf{s}_k}$$

The meaning of the expansion is that $|C(\{E\}; t)|^2$ = the probability that the particles have the quantum numbers, $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N\}$.

Normalization relations

$$\int \Psi^\dagger \Psi \prod_{k=1}^N (dx)_k = 1 \quad ; \text{ which implies } \sum_{\{E\}} |C(\{E\}; t)|^2 = 1.$$

The Schrodinger equation for $C(\{E\}; t)$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C(\{E\}; t) = \int \prod_{\ell=1}^N \psi_{E_\ell}^\dagger(x_\ell) \underbrace{i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}}_{H\Psi = T\Psi + V\Psi} \prod_{k=1}^N (dx)_k$$

$\sum_{\mathbf{w}} |w\rangle \langle w| = 1$ $|w\rangle \langle w|$
 \uparrow \uparrow
 $\leftarrow \text{ } \leftarrow \text{ } \leftarrow$

→ eg. (1.4)

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \langle E_k | T | \mathbf{w} \rangle C(E_1 \dots E_{k-1}, \mathbf{w}, E_{k+1} \dots E_N; t)$$

$\leftarrow E_k \text{ replaced by } \mathbf{w}$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^N \sum_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{\mathbf{w}'} \langle E_k E_\ell | V | \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}' \rangle C(E_1 \dots E_{k-1}, \mathbf{w}, E_{k+1}, \dots, E_{\ell-1}, \mathbf{w}', E_{\ell+1}, \dots, E_N)$$

where

$$\langle E_k | T | \mathbf{w} \rangle = \int \psi_{E_k}^\dagger(x) T(x) \psi_{\mathbf{w}}(x) (dx)$$

and

$$\langle E_k E_\ell | V | \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w}' \rangle = \iint \psi_{E_k}^\dagger(x) \psi_{E_\ell}^\dagger(x') V(x, x') \psi_{\mathbf{w}}(x) \psi_{\mathbf{w}'}(x') (dx) (dx')$$

So far, this is simple. Now comes the hard part: the N particles are **identical particles**.

Identical Particles are Indistinguishable

i.e., the N -body wave function cannot tell which particle (k) has a particular set of quantum numbers (E_k).

$\therefore \Psi^\dagger \Psi$ must be invariant under any interchange of coordinates, $x_i \leftrightarrow x_j$.

1a. Bosons .

$\Psi(x_1 \dots x_N; t)$ must be symmetric with respect to interchange of any two coordinates; i.e.,

$$\Psi(\dots x_k \dots x_l \dots; t) = + \Psi(\dots x_l \dots x_k \dots; t)$$

Then also,

$$C(\dots E_k \dots E_l \dots; t) = + C(\dots E_l \dots E_k \dots; t)$$

for any case of k and l

The space of occupation numbers

In general, $C(E_1 E_2 \dots E_N; t)$ could depend on $3N$ quantum numbers (ignoring spin; set $s=0$).
 $\{ \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3, \dots, \vec{p}_k, \dots, \vec{p}_N \}$

But the function must be symmetric w.r.t. interchanges. Therefore $C(E_1 E_2 \dots E_N; t)$ might depend on as few as 3 quantum numbers

$$\{ \vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_3, \dots, \vec{p}_k, \dots, \vec{p}_N \}$$

In fact, C depends only on the set of "occupation numbers".

Define occupation number $n_i =$ the number of particles with quantum numbers E_i .

Note that n_i could be any integer in the range from 0 to N . (for bosons!)

Restriction: $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i = N$

Imagine that the s.p. states can be listed in some order $\{ E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_{\infty} \}$.

The set of occupation numbers is "standard order"
 $\{ n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{\infty} \}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i = N$.

(Notation: k labels a particle; i labels a state)
 $\{k\} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ $\{i\} = \{1, 2, \dots, \infty\}$

The ^{basis} states of the system are $|n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{\infty}\rangle$.

Most n_i 's are 0.

$$\text{So, } C(E'_1 E'_2 \dots E'_k \dots E'_N; t)$$

$$= \tilde{C}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\infty}; t)$$

$$\text{where } n_i = \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{k i} (E_i, E'_k)$$

$C(\{E\}; t)$ is totally symmetric in $\{E\}$

$\tilde{C}(\{n\}; t)$ has no symmetry property; only the restriction $\sum_i n_i = N$.

Meaning of $\tilde{C}(\{n_i\}; t)$

$|\tilde{C}(\{n_i\}; t)|^2$ is the probability that the list of occupation numbers is $\{n\} = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i, \dots, \infty\}$.

Previously we had sums or products over particle labels j i.e., $\sum_{k=1}^N$ or $\prod_{k=1}^N$.

We will replace these by sums or products over states.

i.e. occupation numbers;

i.e. $\sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty}$ or $\prod_{n_i=0}^{\infty}$.

The normalization of the wave function

$$\int \Psi^\dagger \Psi \prod_{k=1}^N (dx)_k = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{\{E\}} |C(\{E\}; t)|^2 = 1$$

Now

$$\sum_{\{E\}} |\tilde{C}(\{n\}; t)|^2 = 1 \quad \tilde{C}(\{n\}) = C(\{E\})$$

$$\hookrightarrow = \sum_{\{n\}}' \frac{N!}{n_1! n_2! n_3! \dots n_\infty!} |\tilde{C}(\{n\}; t)|^2$$

where \sum' means sum restricted to $\sum_i n_i = N$.

$$\frac{N!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i!} = \text{the number of permutations of } \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N\} \text{ such that the list of quantum numbers is } \{n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_\infty\} \quad \underline{0! = 1}$$

Now define the occupation number probability amplitude

$$f_N(\{n\}; t) = \sqrt{\frac{N!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i!}} \tilde{C}(\{n\}; t)$$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{\{n\}}' |f_N(\{n\}; t)|^2 = 1.$$

and express the coordinate space wave function $\Psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_N)$ in terms of $f_N(\{n\}; t)$

$$\Psi = \sum_{\{n\}}' f_N(\{n\}; t) \Phi_{\{n\}}(\{x\})$$

where

$$\Phi_{\{n\}}(\{x\}) = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_i n_i!}{N!}} \sum_{\{E_1, \dots, E_N\}}'' \psi_{E_1}(x_1) \psi_{E_2}(x_2) \dots \psi_{E_N}(x_N)$$

" means the occupation number of ~~E_k~~ $E_k = n_k \quad \forall k$

$$\Phi_{\{n\}}(\{x\}) = \left(\frac{\prod n_i!}{N!} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{\{E_1, \dots, E_N\}} \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E_k}(x_k)$$

There are just the symmetric, orthonormal basis states for N identical bosons.

Example: $N=2$

The basis states are

$$\sqrt{\frac{2!}{2!}} \psi_{E_i}(x_1) \psi_{E_i}(x_2) \quad (\text{both particles in the same s.p. state } E_i)$$

and

$$\sqrt{\frac{1!1!}{2!}} [\psi_{E_i}(x_1) \psi_{E_j}(x_2) + \psi_{E_j}(x_1) \psi_{E_i}(x_2)]$$

(one in state E_i , the other in state E_j , $j \neq i$)

note that the states are orthonormal — i.e. orthogonal if the lists of occupied states are different, and normalized

$$\int \Phi^\dagger \Phi(dx_1)(dx_2) = 1.$$

$$\Phi_{\{n\}}(\{x\}) = \sqrt{\frac{\prod n_i!}{N!}} \sum_P \psi_{E_1}(x_1) \dots \psi_{E_k}(x_k) \dots \psi_{E_N}(x_N)$$

Another notation: sum over permutations

The Schrödinger equation for $f_N(\{n\}; t)$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_N(\{n\}; t) = \left(\frac{N!}{\prod n_i!} \right)^{1/2} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C(\{E\}; t)$$

see earlier

The result is derived in F.W.;

The final equation is (1.25)

Eq. 1.25

$$= \sum_i \langle i | T | i \rangle n_i f_N(\{n\}; t)$$

$$+ \sum'_{ij} \langle i | T | j \rangle \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j+1} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ij}; t)$$

$$+ \sum'_{ijkl} \langle ij | V | kl \rangle \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j} \sqrt{n_k+1} \sqrt{n_l+1} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ik} + \Delta_{jl}; t)$$

($ijkl$ all different)

$$+ \sum'_{ijkl} \langle ij | V | kl \rangle \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j} \sqrt{n_k+1} \sqrt{n_l+1} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ik} + \Delta_{il}; t)$$

($i=j, k, l$ different)

+ similar terms

where $\Delta_{ij} = \{00\dots0-10\dots0+10\dots00\}$
 \uparrow site i \uparrow site j

FOURTEEN
 "Similar terms"

$ijkl$ all different (1)

+ 2 the same, the others different ($3+2+1=6$)

+ 2 the same, the other two are the same (3)

(but different from the first two)

+ 3 the same, final one different (3)

+ all the same (1)

(Lecture Jan 14)

Summary so far -- the quantum many-particle problem in first quantized form ...

■ understand that the symmetry of the wave function (for bosons) implies that the basis states depend only on the list of occupation numbers;

$$\Phi_{\{n\}}(\{x\}) = \sqrt{\frac{\prod_i n_i!}{N!}} \sum_P \psi_{\epsilon_1}(x_1) \dots \psi_{\epsilon_k}(x_k) \dots \psi_{\epsilon_N}(x_N)$$

basis states;

$$\Psi(\{x\}, t) = \sum_{\{n\}}' f_N(\{n\}, t) \Phi_{\{n\}}(\{x\})$$

■ the Schroedinger equation for the occupation number amplitude (eq. 1.25);

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_N(\{n\}, t) = & \sum_i \langle i|T|i\rangle n_i f_N(\{n\}, t) \\ & + \sum_{i \neq j} \langle i|T|j\rangle \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j+1} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ij}, t) \\ & + \sum_{i,j,k,l} \langle ij|V|kl\rangle \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_j} \sqrt{n_k+1} \sqrt{n_l+1} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ik} + \Delta_{jl}, t) \\ & + \sum_{i,k,l} \langle ii|V|kl\rangle \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n_i} \sqrt{n_i-1} \sqrt{n_k+1} \sqrt{n_l+1} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ik} + \Delta_{il}, t) \\ & + \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (1.25)$$

Handwritten notes:
 - Red arrow from $i \neq j$ to $\langle i|T|j\rangle$
 - Red arrow from i,j,k,l to $\langle ij|V|kl\rangle$ with note "i,j,k,l all different"
 - Red arrow from i,k,l to $\langle ii|V|kl\rangle$ with note "i,k,l all different"
 - Red arrow from Δ_{ij} to $\{n\} + \Delta_{ij}$ with note " $\Delta_{ij} = \{0, \dots, -1, \dots, +1, \dots, 0\}$ "

1b. The many-particle Hilbert space (a.k.a. Fock space); creation and annihilation operators; SECOND QUANTIZATION

- Basis states

$$|n_1 n_2 n_3 \dots n_\infty\rangle \quad \text{or} \quad |\{n_i\}\rangle$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i = N$.

- Orthogonality

$$\langle \{n'_i\} | \{n_i\} \rangle = \delta(n'_1, n_1) \delta(n'_2, n_2) \dots \delta(n'_\infty, n_\infty)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta(n'_i, n_i) \quad (\text{Kronecker delta})$$

- Completeness

$$\sum_{\{n_i\}} |\{n_i\}\rangle \langle \{n_i\}| = \mathbb{1}$$

- Annihilation and creation operators

$$b_i \quad \text{and} \quad b_i^\dagger$$

are defined by these commutation relations

$$[b_i, b_j] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [b_i^\dagger, b_j^\dagger] = 0$$

$$[b_i, b_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}$$

bosons

You should remember these commutation relations, from the raising and lowering operators of the harmonic oscillator.

Given

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 \quad \text{where} \quad [p, x] = -i\hbar$$

Let

$$a = \frac{p}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} - i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} x$$

$$a^\dagger = \frac{p}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} + i\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} x$$

$$\text{Then } [a, a^\dagger] = 0 + 0 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} (i) \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} [p, x]$$

$\underbrace{-i\hbar}_{-i\hbar}$

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1$$

and of course $[a, a] = 0$.

$$\text{And, } H = \hbar\omega \left(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

The eigenvalues of $a^\dagger a$ are integers ≥ 0

$$a|n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|n-1\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad a^\dagger|n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$$

$$\text{where } a^\dagger a|n\rangle = n|n\rangle.$$

Important b_i and b_i^+ have nothing to do with harmonic oscillator hamiltonians, but the same commutation relations $\{b_i, b_i\} = 0$; $[b_i, b_i^+] = 1$; $n_i = b_i^+ b_i$.

Creation and annihilation operators

- $b_i^+ b_i$ is Hermitian, so its eigenvalues are real.
- $\langle 0 | b_i^+ b_i | 0 \rangle \geq 0$ so the lowest eigenvalue is 0.

$b_i^+ | 0 \rangle = | 1 \rangle$

Proof $b_i^+ b_i \cdot b_i^+ | 0 \rangle = b_i^+ (\underbrace{b_i b_i^+}_1 - \underbrace{b_i^+ b_i}_0) | 0 \rangle$
 $= 1 \cdot b_i^+ | 0 \rangle$ QED

$b_i^+ | n \rangle = \sqrt{n+1} | n+1 \rangle$

Proof $b_i^+ b_i \cdot b_i^+ | n \rangle = b_i^+ [\underbrace{[b_i, b_i^+]}_1 + \underbrace{b_i^+ b_i}_n] | n \rangle$
 $= (n+1) b_i^+ | n \rangle$

and $\langle n | b_i b_i^+ | n \rangle = \langle n | 1 + b_i^+ b_i | n \rangle = n+1$.
 QED

$b_i | n \rangle = \sqrt{n} | n-1 \rangle$

Proof $b_i^+ b_i \cdot b_i | n \rangle = (\underbrace{b_i^+ b_i}_{-1} - \underbrace{b_i b_i^+}_n + b_i b_i^+) b_i | n \rangle$
 $= -b_i | n \rangle + b_i (\underbrace{b_i^+ b_i}_n) | n \rangle = (n-1) b_i | n \rangle$

and $\langle n | b_i^+ b_i | n \rangle = n$ QED

$b_i^+ b_i$ = the number operator

b_i^+ = the creation operator

b_i = the annihilation operator

Now, write the Hamiltonian in terms of b_i and b_j^+ operators.

$$H = \sum_{ij} b_i^+ \langle i | T | j \rangle b_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} b_i^+ b_j^+ \langle ij | V | kl \rangle b_l b_k$$

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i,j} b_i^\dagger \langle i|T|j \rangle b_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger \langle ij|V|kl \rangle b_l b_k$$

then

$$\langle i|T|j \rangle = \int d^3x \psi_i^\dagger(x) T(x) \psi_j(x)$$

and

$$\langle ij|V|kl \rangle = \int d^3x d^3y \psi_i^\dagger(x) \psi_j^\dagger(y) V(x,y) \psi_k(x) \psi_l(y)$$

Simplify the notation:

$\psi_i(x)$ means $\psi_{E_i}(x)$;

i.e., the single particle wave function with quantum numbers E_i .

Proof

$$|\psi_t\rangle = \sum_{\{n\}} f_N(\{n\}) \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(b_i^\dagger)^{n_i}}{\sqrt{n_i!}} |0\rangle \quad |n_i\rangle \text{ normalised}$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi_t\rangle = \sum_{\{n\}} f_N(\{n\}) \left\{ \sum_{i,j} \langle i|T|j \rangle b_i^\dagger b_j |\{n\}\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \langle ij|V|kl \rangle b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger b_l b_k |\{n\}\rangle \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{part} &= \sum_{\{n\}} f_N(\{n\}) \left[\sum_i \langle i|T|i \rangle n_i |\{n\}\rangle + \sum_{i \neq j} \langle i|T|j \rangle \sqrt{n_i+1} \sqrt{n_j} |\{n\} - \Delta_{ij}\rangle \right] \\ &= \sum_{\{n\}} f_N(\{n\}) \left[\sum_i \langle i|T|i \rangle n_i |\{n\}\rangle + \sum_{i \neq j} f_N(\{n\} + \Delta_{ij}) \sum_{i' \neq j'} \langle i'|T|j' \rangle \sqrt{n_{i'}+1} \sqrt{n_{j'}} |\{n'\}\rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

$\{n'\} = \{n\} - \Delta_{ij}$
 $n_{i'} = n_i + 1$
 $n_{j'} = n_j - 1$

$$V_{part} = \sum_{\{n\}} f_N(\{n\}) \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \langle ij|V|kl \rangle \sqrt{n_i+1} \sqrt{n_j+1} \sqrt{n_k} \sqrt{n_l} |\{n\} - \Delta_{ij,kl}\rangle$$

(all different)

etc.

$$\Delta_{ij} = \{0 \dots \underset{(i)}{-1} \dots \underset{(j)}{+1} \dots 0\}$$

The final result is equivalent to Eq. (1.25).
Q.E.D.

1c. Fermions

Start again with the first quantized N-body Hamiltonian ,

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^N T(x_k) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^N V(x_k, x_l)$$

(T and V would be matrices w.r. to spin space.)

Now add the **antisymmetry** of the of the wave function $\Psi(x_1 x_2 \dots x_k \dots x_N; t)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\dots x_k \dots x_k \dots ; t) \\ = - \Psi(\dots x_1 \dots x_k \dots ; t) \end{aligned}$$

for any case of k and k , for fermions.

**Exchange includes spin indices, suppressed here.

Fermions are easier than bosons because the occupation numbers are more limited:

for any single-particle state i , n_i can only be 0 or 1.

That's the *Pauli exclusion principle*.

It is a consequence of the antisymmetry of the wave function.

$$\dots \psi_E(x_k) \dots \psi_{E'}(x_1) \dots$$

must be equal to

$$- \dots \psi_E(x_1) \dots \psi_{E'}(x_k) \dots$$

If $E' = E$ then the N-body wave function could only be 0; not possible.

Annihilation and creation operators for fermions.

Use notation c_i and c_i^\dagger for fermions.

FOR FERMIONS, THE DEFINING
COMMUTATION RELATIONS ARE
ANTICOMMUTATION RELATIONS.

$$\{c_i, c_j\} = c_i c_j + c_j c_i = 0$$

$$\{c_i^\dagger, c_j^\dagger\} = c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger + c_j^\dagger c_i^\dagger = 0$$

$$\{c_i, c_j^\dagger\} = c_i c_j^\dagger + c_j^\dagger c_i = \delta_{ij}.$$

Another notation for the anticommutator:

$$\{A, B\} = [A, B]_+$$

The interpretation of fermionic operators (c_i and c_i^\dagger) is the same as for bosonic operators (b_i and b_i^\dagger):

c_i = annihilation operator; annihilates a particle in the state E_i ;

c_i^\dagger = creation operator; creates a particle in the state E_i ;

$c_i^\dagger c_i$ = occupation number operator for the state E_i .

Note that this automatically satisfies the Pauli exclusion principle:

A state with two particles would be

$$c_i^\dagger c_j^\dagger |0\rangle;$$

but if $i = j$ then we would have $c_i^\dagger c_i^\dagger$; but this is 0 by the second A.C. relation. So two particles cannot occupy the same s.p. state.

Antisymmetry of the wave function

Theorem.

The **anti** commutation relations of the creation and annihilation operators imply that the N-body wave function will be antisymmetric.

Example: $N = 2$

$$c_a^\dagger c_b^\dagger |0\rangle = |000\dots \overset{\text{pos. } a}{\downarrow} 1 \dots \overset{\text{pos. } b}{\downarrow} 1 \dots 0\rangle$$

assuming a, b are in standard order

$$c_b^\dagger c_a^\dagger |0\rangle = - |000\dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 0\rangle$$

$$\text{b/c } \{c_a^\dagger, c_b^\dagger\} = 0$$

Generalizing,

$$\text{e.g., } |0\dots 0 \dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 0 \dots 0\rangle$$

$$|\{n\}\rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \delta_P c_{1'}^\dagger c_{2'}^\dagger \dots c_{i'}^\dagger \dots c_{N'}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

where P = a permutation that puts $\{1' 2' \dots i' \dots N'\}$ into standard order, δ_P = parity of P , ± 1 for even/odd.

$$\therefore \langle \{x\} | \{n\} \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \delta_P \psi_{E1'}(x_1) \psi_{E2'}(x_2) \dots \psi_{Ei'}(x_i) \dots \psi_{EN'}(x_N)$$

and note that this is totally antisymmetric.