Finishing Chapter 1

Review of Chapter 1 and Preview of **Chapter 3**

Chapter 1: second quantization

define the field operator $\psi_a(\mathbf{x})$.

quantum field theory (NRQFT)

Chapter 3 : methods of nonrelativistic

In Chapter 1, F&W derive the equations of NRQFT: Start from the many-particle Schroedinger equation (1st quantized);

introduce second quantization, i.e., creation and annihilation operators; Today I'll do the opposite: Start from the equations of NRQFT as postulates; then derive the many-particle Schroedinger equation.

The postulates of NRQFT (as deduced from chapter 1)

■ The states are elements of an abstract Hilbert space, called "Fock space".

 $| n_1 n_2 n_3 \dots n_i \dots n_{\infty} >$

a complete set of single particle wave functions, where $E_i = a$ set of s.p. quantum numbers. The basis states for Fock space are the occupation number states

Let $\{\psi_{E}(x) : E = E_{1} E_{2} E_{3} \dots E_{n} \dots E_{n} \}$ be

• The field operator $\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ annihilates a particle at position \mathbf{x} .

 α is the spin component. For spin 0 bosons there is no α . For spin-½ fermions, $\psi(x)$ is a 2-component operator; $\alpha = +\frac{1}{2}$ (or -½) for the upper (or lower) component.

The adjoint field operator $\psi_{\alpha}^{+}(\mathbf{x})$ creates a particle at \mathbf{x} .

■ The actions of $\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\psi_{\alpha}^{+}(\mathbf{x})$ in the Hilbert space are based on postulated commutation relations (for bosons) or anti-commutation relations (for fermions).

For spin 0 bosons,

For spin ½ fermions,

Note:

for bosons
$$\hat{\gamma}(x)$$
 $\hat{\gamma}(y) = \hat{\gamma}(y)$ $\hat{\gamma}(x)$
for fermions $\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha}(x)$ $\hat{\gamma}_{\beta}(y) = -\hat{\gamma}_{\beta}(y)$ $\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha}(x)$

In chapter 3 we'll introduce "particles and holes"; then ψ can annihilate a particle or create a hole; and ψ^{\dagger} can create a particle or annihilate a hole. In relativistic QFT, ψ can annihilate an electron or create a positron.

$$\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\mathcal{N}}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x})$$

sum over alpha from $-\frac{1}{2}$ to $+\frac{1}{2}$ is implied. Repeated spin indices are summed by convention.

and the total number operator is

$$\hat{N} = \int \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(\vec{x}) \, \Psi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) \, d^{3}x$$

■ The Hamiltonian operator is

$$\hat{H} = \int d3_{x} \hat{\Upsilon}_{\alpha}^{+}(\vec{x}) T_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\Upsilon}_{\beta}(x)$$

 $T_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\alpha\beta} \left(-\frac{t^2 \nabla_{\alpha}}{2\pi} \right) + V_{\alpha\beta}(x)$

To Prove: that the theory based on these postulates (NRQFT) implies the equations of N-particle Schroedinger wave mechanics.

Theorem
$$[\hat{H}, \hat{N}] = 0$$

<u>Proof</u> (for a fermion field) Prove it using the anticommutators.

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{N}] = [\hat{T}, \hat{N}] + [\hat{V}, \hat{N}]$$

$$[\hat{T}, \hat{N}] = \int d^{3}x [\hat{T}_{a}^{\dagger}(x) T_{a} \hat{T}_{B}(x), \hat{N}]$$

$$[AB, C] = A [B, C] + [A, C]B$$

$$= ABC - ACB + ACB - CAB$$

$$= \int d^{3}x \{ Y_{a}^{\dagger} [T_{aB}Y_{B}, N] + [Y_{a}^{\dagger}, N] T_{aB}Y_{B} \}$$

$$[Y_{\alpha}^{\dagger}, N] = \int d^{3}y \left[Y_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x), Y_{\beta}^{\dagger}(y)Y_{\beta}(y) \right]$$

$$[D_{\beta}EF] = \{D_{\beta}EF - E\{D_{\beta}F\}\}$$

$$= D_{\beta}EF + ED_{\beta}F - EFD$$

$$[Y_{\alpha}^{\dagger}, N] = \int d^{3}y \{O_{\beta}Y_{\beta}(y) - Y_{\beta}^{\dagger}(y)\delta_{\alpha\beta}S^{3}(x-y)\}$$

$$= -Y_{\alpha}^{\dagger}(x)$$

$$[T_{\alpha\beta}Y_{\beta}, N] = T_{\alpha\beta}[Y_{\beta}, N]$$

$$= T_{\alpha\beta}[Y^{\dagger}Y_{\beta}]^{\dagger} \text{ because}$$

Also...

$$[T_{\alpha\beta} T_{\beta}, N] = T_{\alpha\beta} [Y_{\beta}, N]$$
 $= T_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, Y_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger}$
 $= L_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, Y_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger}$
 $= L_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, Y_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger}$
 $= L_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, Y_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger}$
 $= L_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger}$
 $= L_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger}$
 $= L_{\alpha\beta} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger} [N^{\dagger}, X_{\beta}^{\dagger}]^{\dagger$

Corollary

The energy eigenstates are also particle number eigenstates.

<u>Proof</u>

Because
$$[\hat{H}, \hat{N}] = D$$
. Q.E.D.
Let $\hat{H}|E,N\rangle = E|E,N\rangle$
and $\hat{N}|E,N\rangle = N|E,N\rangle$
Note $[\hat{H}, \hat{N}]|E,N\rangle = (EN-NE)|E,N\rangle$
 $= 0$

Consider N = 0
In NRQFT, the s

In NRQFT, the state with no particles is just empty space. (RQFT is different!)

|0> has H |0> = 0 and N |0> = 0.

Consider N = 1

An energy eigenstate with N = 1 and energy E is $|E,1\rangle$.

Define the Schroedinger wave function for this state, $\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = <0|\ \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\ |\ E,1>.$

Theorem. $\phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})$ obeys the Schroedinger equation.

Proof.

$$\frac{\Phi_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle}{E \neq_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) = \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) \hat{H} | E, 1 \rangle}$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | \hat{T} + \hat{V} | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | \hat{T} + \hat{V} | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | + \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | E, 1 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}), \hat{T} | \hat{\Psi}_{\alpha}(\vec{x}) | \hat$$

1his < of [4, 10, +7/E,1) = < of To 4, 60 | E,17 = $T_{\alpha y} \phi_{x}(x)$ & wylied = - 12 72 Pa (x) + Vas (x) PB (x) · The V part (0) fx (x) V (5,1) = < 0 [46, 0] + 04, (51) This gives 0 become (0) Yt = 0 (because 4, 10) =0) Now calculate

[\(\frac{1}{2} \), \(\) = \(\) d^3 \(\) \(

Q.E.D. for N = 1

An energy eigenstate with N = 2 and energy E is $|E|^2 > 1$

Define the Schroedinger wave function for this state,

for this state,
$$\phi_{\alpha 1 \alpha 2}(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}) = <0 | \psi_{\alpha 1}(\mathbf{x_1}) \psi_{\alpha 2}(\mathbf{x_2}) | E,2 >$$

Theorem.

 $\varphi_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(x_1,x_2)$ is antisymmetric.

Proof.

Proof.

$$\phi_{d_2 d_1}(x_2 x_1) = \langle \phi | \hat{Y}_{d_2}(x_2) \hat{Y}_{d_1}(x_1) | E; 2 \rangle$$

$$= - \hat{Y}_{d_1 d_2}(x_1 x_2)$$

$$= - \hat{Y}_{d_1 d_2}(x_1 x_2)$$

$$Q. E. P.$$

Theorem.

 $\varphi_{01,02}(x_1,x_2)$ obeys the 2-particle Schroedinger equation.

Proof.

+ 5 (x1) \$ (x1 x2) + 5 (x2) \$ (x1 x2)

$$\begin{array}{l}
+ \text{ The } \hat{V} \text{ part} \\
= \langle 0 | \hat{V}_{a_1}(x_1) \hat{V}_{a_2}(x_2) \hat{V} | E; 27 \\
= \langle 0 | [Y_{a_1}(x_1) Y_{a_2}(x_2), V] | E; 27 \\
= \langle 0 | Y_{a_1}(x_1) [Y_{a_2}(x_2), V] \\
+ [Y_{a_1}(x_1), V] Y_{a_2}(x_2) | E; 27 \\
[Y_{xx}(x), V] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y d^3y' [Y_{xx}(x), Y_{y}^{+} Y_{y}^{+} Y_{y}, Y_{y}^{+} Y_{y}^{+}] \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int d^3y d^3y' V(yy') \{ S^{3}(x-y) Y^{+}(y') Y_{y}(y') Y_{y}(y') \\
- S^{3}(x-y') Y^{+}(y) Y_{y}(y') Y_{y}(y') Y_{y}(y') Y_{y}(y') Y_{y}(y')
\end{array}$$

```
< d + (x) 42 V = 12)
                              = <0 (4 (x)) = (3) V(xx1) (41) (x1) (x2)
                                                                    + 4(x1)(-1) Say V(yx2) 4t(4) 4(x2) 4(4)
                                                                     + = ( d3 / V(x141) 4/41) 4(41) 4(41) 4(41) 4(42)
                                                               + (-1/2) ( By V(yx2) 4+(y) +(x) +(xy) +(xy
    Note

(0/4(x1) 4 (41) = 83(x1-41) (0/ etc

  \[
  \left\ \left
           = V(x,x2) Pa, 0/2 (x, x2)
```

Consider arbitrary N

Arbitrary N

$$\phi(x_1 x_2 ... x_N) = \langle o | \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger}(x_1) \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger}(x_2) ... \hat{\mathcal{Y}}^{\dagger}(x_N) | E; N \rangle$$

$$E \phi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \nabla_k^2 \phi + \sum_{k=1}^{N} U(\hat{x}_k) \phi$$

$$E\phi = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \nabla_k^2 \phi + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sigma(x_k) \phi$$

+ E V(xL xe) &

Q.E.D. for arbitrary N

the Schwedinger quation for N particles.