Chapter 9: Functional Methods

We'll use this chapter to derive the equations for the quantized electromagnetic field.

Sec. 9.1.

Path integrals in Quantum Mechanics.

The path integral method is a different way to quantize classical mechanics -- an alternative to the Schroedinger equation.

Quick review

Ordinary quantum mechanic $H = \frac{p^2}{2} + V(x).$ The operator method. b = -it = and - = +"+ V4 = it 24

The goal of quantum mechanics is to calculate the time evolution of states;

$$U(x_a, x_b; T) = \langle x_b | e^{-iHT/\hbar} | x_a \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n} e^{-iE_nT/\hbar} \psi_n(x_b) \psi_n^{*}(x_a)$$

Feynman path integral formula

$$(x_b|e^{-iHT/\hbar}|x_a) = \int \mathcal{O}_X(t) e^{-iS[x(t)]/\hbar}$$

where $x(t)$ is a "path"

 $(x_b|e^{-iHT/\hbar}|x_a) = \int \mathcal{O}_X(t) e^{-iS[x(t)]/\hbar}$

where $x(t)$ is a "path"

 $x(0) = x_a$ and $x(T) = x_b$
 x_a

and $x(T) = x_b$
 x_a
 x_a

Evolution from state $|x_a|$ to state $|x_b|$ is equal to the integral over all paths from x_a to x_b , weighted by the factor $\exp\{i \text{ Action /hbar}\}$.

Now, what is the "integral over pathe"? This is an example of functional integration. To make it "regorans", subdivide the time intered (0,T) into discrete steps (0, t1, t2 t3,, tN4, T) where they - the = = ; i=e. disordize to path; $S = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left[\frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{2} \right)^2 - \epsilon V \left(\frac{x_{k+1} + x_k}{2} \right) \right];$ and DX(+) means II dxk ;

Path integrals = the functional method applied to ordinary quantum mechanics.

Now, **can** we apply functional integration to a field theory?

Sec. 9.2.

Functional Quantization of Scalar Fields.

Let's compare and contrast: (i) the canonical quantization of the scalar field, and (ii) the functional formalism.

(i) canonical quantization

$$\phi(x) = \phi(\vec{x}, t)$$

The action $S = \int L dt = \int L d^4x$

where $I = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - V(\phi)$.

Layrange's quantization

$$\partial^2 \phi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

Cormical momentum
$$TT(\vec{x},t) = \frac{2\vec{x}}{\partial(\partial \phi^{\dagger})}$$
 $= \partial_{\phi} \dot{\phi}$.

Quantization $[T(\vec{x},t), \phi(\vec{y},t)] = -i\delta^{3}l$.

 $T(i)$ exclusion; the generator is

 $H = \int d\vec{x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}T^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^{2} + V(\phi) \right\}$
 $\therefore \langle \dot{\phi}_{b}(\vec{x}) | e^{-iHT} | \dot{\phi}_{a}(\vec{x}) \rangle = U[\dot{\phi}_{a}(\vec{x})] = U[\dot{\phi}_{a}(\vec{x}$

Quantization [
$$\pi(\vec{x},t)$$
, $\phi(\vec{y},t)$]= $-i\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$.

The evolution; the generator is

 $H = \int d^3x \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right\}$.

 $(\phi_b(\vec{x}) \mid e^{-iHT} \mid \phi_a(\vec{x}) \rangle = U[\phi_a, \phi_b; T]$

(ii) functional integration

On strict analogy with the pedh-hitegral formulation of ordinary quantum varietienish...

 $(\phi_b(\vec{x}) \mid e^{-iHT} \mid \phi_a(\vec{x}) \rangle$
 $= \int \mathcal{D}\phi(\omega) e^{-iHT} \mid \phi_a(\vec{x}) \rangle$
 $= \int \mathcal{D}\phi(\omega) e^{-iHT} \mid \phi_a(\vec{x}) \rangle$

action for a "puth" in function space, from $\phi_a(\vec{x})$ to $\phi_b(\vec{x})$.

Cofred as the librat

 $e \to 0$ of a discretized field.

To see how this works, we'll consider the correlation function (2-point function)

 $D_F(x_1 - x_2) = \langle 0| T \phi(x_1) \phi(x_2) | 0 \rangle$ in the **free** field theory.

This an ordinary function.
It should be the same in either formalism.

We already know what it is in the canonical field theory,

$$\mathcal{L}_{free} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^{2} \phi^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\partial^{2} + m^{2}) \phi = 0 \quad (Klein Gordon equation)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{F}(x_{1}-x_{2}) = \langle 0 | T | \phi(x_{1}) | \phi(x_{2}) | 0 \rangle
= \int \frac{d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}} \frac{e^{-ik \cdot (x_{1}-x_{2})}}{k^{2}-m^{2}+i\epsilon} \qquad E_{g}(9.27).$$

Now let's try to calculate D(x-x') using the functional method.

The functional integral for a correlation function is

$$\frac{f(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2)} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}} = \frac{\int \mathcal{Q} \varphi(x_1) \, \varphi(x_2) \, e^{i \, \mathcal{S}(\varphi)}}{\int$$

Now,
$$S'(\phi) = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{4} \phi - \frac{1}{2} h u^{2} \phi^{2} \right\}$$
integrate by parts and theory away the scotfine term at $\infty \Rightarrow$

$$S'(\phi) = \int d^4x \frac{1}{2} \phi \left[+ \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} + m^{2} \right] \phi$$

$$S(\phi) \text{ is gnadratic (for the Sieze field) Seo}$$

$$\int \partial \phi \quad e^{iS(\phi)} \quad \text{is a Graussian integral.}$$

Gaussian integration

Gaussian integration Consider
$$I^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{1}$$

Also, consider
$$I_{rs}^{(2)} = \int (II I_{sh}) \xi_{r} \xi_{s} e^{-\frac{r}{2} \cdot B \cdot \xi}$$

$$= \sum_{h'} G_{h'} \sum_{e'} G_{e'} \int (II I_{ch}) e^{-\frac{r}{2} b_{k} G_{k}^{2}} \qquad u_{r}^{(h)} u_{s}^{(h)}$$

$$= \sum_{h'} G_{h'} \sum_{e'} \int (II I_{ch}) e^{-\frac{r}{2} b_{k} G_{k}^{2}} \qquad u_{r}^{(h)} u_{s}^{(h)}$$

$$= \sum_{h'} \int (II I_{ch}) e^{-\frac{r}{2} b_{k} G_{k}^{2}} dG_{h'} = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{2b_{h'}} \int I_{rr} h_{s} h'$$

$$= \int \int \int \int I_{r} u_{r}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')} dG_{h'}$$

$$= \int \int \int I_{rr} u_{r}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')} dG_{h'}$$

$$= \int \int \int I_{rr} u_{r}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')}$$

$$= \int \int \int I_{rr} u_{r}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')} u_{s}^{(h')}$$

= I = (B-1) rs

Apply Gaussian integration to the functional integral Sop e 15(4) = (Do e - 2 (At + (32+m2) + = const x [Det (32+m2)]-1/2 (Eg 9.25) ∫ 10¢ φ(x1) φ(x2) e (S(p)) = G(x1, x2) ∫ Dteis \$(x) \$(xz) = G(x,, xz) = werse of 32+m2 = \(\langle \frac{d4h}{1284} \) \(\frac{-ih \cdot (\chi - \chi_2)}{k^2 - \cdot u^2 + i\epsilon} \)

Results.

(my proof was a little bit rough, but it can be made rigorous)

§ The two-point function from functional integration is the same as for canonical quantization.

§ The perturbation expansion can be carried out using the functional integrals (just expand e^{iS} in powers of the interaction) and it's just the same as Wick's theorem.

§ The theorem can be extended to n-point functions.

So... the functional method is a correct quantum theory.

Sec. 9.3.

The analogy between quantum field theory and statistical mechanics.

In statistical mechanics, we sum over all the states of a large system. Think of the partition function Z = Sum $e^{-\beta E}$.

In quantum field theory, we sum (i.e., integrate) over all the field configurations in space time:

$$\int D \varphi e^{iS[\varphi]}$$
.

Sec. 9.4.

Quantization of the electromagnetic field.

$$A_{\mu}(x) = A_{\mu}(x,t)$$

$$L = -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} - j^{\mu} A \mu$$

where
$$F_{uv} = \partial_u A_v - \partial_v A_u$$
,

 $(j_{\mu}(x))$ is electric current density 4-vector, to acts as a source.

For free fields, $j_{11} = 0$.)

<u>Lagrange's equations are Maxwell's equations</u>

<u>Lagrange's equations are Maxwell's equations</u>

Action =
$$\int L dt = \int L dt \times$$

= $\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu\nu} \left(\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu} \right) - J^{\mu} A_{\mu} \right\}$

= $\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - J^{\mu} A_{\mu} \right\}$

= $\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} - J^{\nu} A_{\mu} \right\}$

white grate hy parts; surface turn at a $\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} \right\}$

= $\int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} F^{\nu\nu} \partial_{\nu} A_{\nu} \right\}$

$$= \int d^{4}x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F^{0\nu} \partial_{0} A_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{i} F^{i\nu}) A_{\nu} - J^{\mu} A_{\nu} \right\}$$

$$= \partial_{0} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta (\partial_{0} A_{p})} \right) - \frac{\delta L}{\delta A_{p}} = 0$$

$$= \partial_{0} \left(-\frac{1}{2} F^{0p} \times 2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{i} F^{ip} \times 2 + J^{p}$$

$$= -\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu p} + J^{p}$$

Thus du Fus = 18 (Max wells quatino)

What is the problem with canonical quantization?

 $/1/A_{\mu}$ has 4 components.

But $\partial A_0/\partial t$ does not appear in the Lagrangian.

So the canonical momentum for A_0 is $\Pi = \delta L / \delta(\partial_0 A_0) = 0$.

We can't apply canonical quantization to A_0 .

/2/ The Maxwell equation corresponding to A_0 is

$$\partial_{\mu}F^{\mu 0} = j^{0}$$

$$\partial_{i}F^{i0} = j^{0}$$

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{\rho}$

(Gauss's law, not a dynamical equation)

/3/ One method is to impose the Coulomb gauge condition, ∇ . **A** = 0.

Then

$$\nabla . \mathbf{E} = \nabla . \left(- \nabla \mathbf{A}_0 - \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t \right) = - \nabla^2 \mathbf{A}_0 = \rho$$
 so

$$A_o(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho(x')}{4\pi} \frac{d^3x'}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} & (\text{Corlomb's law}) \end{cases}$$

I.e., the field A₀ is not quantized. This method is used by Bjorken and Drell, and often in atomic physics. But it is not manifestly Lorentz invariant, so it is inconvenient for relativistic calculations.

/4/ Peskin and Schroeder use the functional method, and impose a covariant gauge condition on the integral over field configurations.

The functional method

For example, consider the correlation function

Solar Aulxi) Av(xz)
$$e^{iS(A)}$$

Solar $e^{iS(A)}$

Now consider

 $Solar e^{iS(A)}$ where $S' = -\frac{1}{4} F_{av} F^{av}$

(Free field)

But S is gauge invariant; $S(A_{\rho}) = S(A_{\rho} + \partial_{\rho}\lambda)$ for any function $\lambda(x)$.

The integral is *undefined* because the integrand is constant over an infinite space.

Another indication of the failure of the theory...

S(A) =
$$\int (-\frac{1}{4}) \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}) (\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu} - \partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}) d^{4}x$$

= $-\frac{1}{2} \int (\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}) (\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}) d^{4}x$

integrate by parts;
drop the surface term at ∞ .

= $-\frac{1}{2} \int A^{9} \left[-g_{p} - \partial^{2} + \partial_{p} \partial_{\nu} \right] A^{\sigma} d^{4}x$

Thus operator is non invertible;
the determinant is 0 .

Challenge: How to integrate over *gauge* inequivalent configurations, instead of integrating over all configurations.

Faddeev and Popov (1967)

$$G(A) = gange and im; e.g. G = \partial_{A}A^{4} - \omega$$

$$1 = \int \mathcal{D} x \quad \mathcal{S}[G(A^{\alpha})] \det \left[\frac{\mathcal{S}G(A^{\alpha})}{\mathcal{S}\alpha} \right]$$

Analog of the Dirac Re I to funchin; forces $G = 0$; where $A_{11} = A_{11} + \partial_{11}\alpha$ i.e. $\partial_{11}A^{4} = \omega$

$$\int \mathcal{D}A = e^{iS(A)} = \det \left[\frac{\mathcal{S}G(A^{\alpha})}{\mathcal{S}x} \right] \int Dx \int DA e^{iS(A)}$$

$$\mathcal{S}[G(A^{\alpha})]$$

$$= \det \left[\frac{\mathcal{S}G(A^{\alpha})}{\mathcal{S}\alpha} \right] \int Dx \int \mathcal{D}A e^{iS(A)} \int \mathcal{D}A$$

2 - 2 - 1 ()4 An)2 = Lof.

Now the effects again is Sdox Leff (4) = - = S A5 [- geo 2 + 2 2] A dx - 1 AS [- 13 30] A 0 d4x = - = \frac{1}{2} \AP [-950 d2 + (1-\frac{1}{5}) 2 2 2] A d3x so to propagator is the in varse (Græn's function) & turo differential operator. in munchem space, $D_{F}^{av}(k) = \frac{-i}{b^{2} + i c} \left(g^{uv} - (1 - \xi) \frac{k^{akv}}{k^{2}} \right)$ Check: (exercise) [-gur k2 + (1- +) hoke] D 28(6) = i Su

We have used 3 = 1 "Feynman genze"

Another common choice

Landau genze

Landau genz

Sec. 9.5.

Functional Quantization of Spinor Fields.

Needs *Grassmann variables*, i.e., anticommuting numbers.

Sec. 9.6.

Symmetries in the Functional Formalism.