

Next topic:
**QUANTUM FIELD THEORY AND MANY
PARTICLE SYSTEMS**

Think of many applications ...

References:

Fetter and Walecka,
*Quantum Theory of Many-Particle
Systems* (famous primary text; not
obsolete, but old fashioned)

E. G. Harris,
*A Pedestrian Approach to Quantum Field
Theory* (pedestrian; somewhat obsolete)

We don't have time to cover the field at
the depth of Fetter and Walecka.

We'll study some essential ideas:

Chapters 1, 3, 4 ?

Chapter 1 : SECOND QUANTIZATION

1. The Schroedinger equation in first and second quantization
 - a. Bosons
 - b. Many particle Hilbert space
 - c. Fermions
2. Fields
3. Example : Degenerate electron gas

SECTION 1 : THE SCHROEDINGER EQUATION IN FIRST AND SECOND QUANTIZATION

Start with

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^N T_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum'_{k,l=1}^N V(\mathbf{x}_k, \mathbf{x}_l);$$

Note \sum' : the prime means $l \neq k$.

N particles; interacting with each other by a 2-particle interaction $V(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$.

The essential goal of quantum mechanics is to solve the Schroedinger equation,

$$i\hbar \partial \Psi / \partial t = H \Psi$$

where

$$\Psi = \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N; t).$$

The first quantized theory

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^N T_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^N V(x_k, x_l)$$

$$T_k = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_k^2 + U(x_k) \quad \text{"one-body operator"}$$

$$V(x_k, x_l) = \text{interaction energy} \quad \text{"two-body operator"}$$

Notations

- Index k labels one of the N particles; the set of k values is $\{k\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k, \dots, N\}$.
- x_k is a complete set of coordinates for particle k ; for example, for an electron, $x_k = (x_k, y_k, z_k, \xi_k)$.
Cartesian coords.; spin coord.;
 $\in (0, L)$ $\in (1, -1)$

$$\text{To Solve: } i\hbar \partial \Psi / \partial t = H \Psi$$

$$\text{where } \Psi = \Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_N)$$

Introduce a complete set of time-independent single-particle wave functions

Single-particle states

$$\Psi_E(x) \quad \begin{cases} x = \text{coordinates} \\ E = \text{quantum numbers} \end{cases}$$

For example, for an electron in a box,

$$E = (p_x, p_y, p_z, S_z)$$

$$\Psi_E(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega}} e^{i\vec{k}\vec{x}/\hbar} u$$

$$\vec{p} = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{L} \vec{n} \quad (\text{P.B.C.}) \quad \text{and } u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Completeness: We can expand the N-particle wave function as a product of single-particle wave functions __

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_N; t) \\ = \sum_{E_1'} \sum_{E_2'} \dots \sum_{E_N'} C(\{E_i\}; t) \\ \times \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E_k'}(x_k) \end{aligned}$$

Or,

$$\Psi(\{x\}; t) = \sum_{\{E\}} C(\{E\}; t) \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E_k}(x_k)$$

By the orthonormality of the s.-p. states __

$$\begin{aligned} C(\{E\}; t) = \int \prod_{k=1}^N \psi_{E_k}^\dagger(x_k) \bar{\Psi}(\{x\}; t) d\tau_k \\ d\tau_k = d^3x_k \sum_{\xi_k} \end{aligned}$$

Interpretation: $|C(\{E\}; t)|^2 =$
the probability that the particles have quantum numbers $\{E_1 E_2 \dots E_k \dots E_N\}$

Normalization relations

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Psi^\dagger \Psi \prod_{k=1}^N d\tau_k = 1 \\ \sum_{\{E\}} |C(\{E\}; t)|^2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The Schroedinger equation for $C(\{E\}; t)$

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} C = \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_W \langle E_k | T | W \rangle C(E_1 \dots W \dots E_N; t) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^N \sum_W \sum_{W'} \langle E_k E_l | V | W W' \rangle \\ C(E_1 \dots W \dots W' \dots E_N; t) \end{aligned}$$

↖ position k

↖ positions k and l

$\langle E_k | T | W \rangle =$ a single particle matrix element

$\langle E_k E_l | V | W W' \rangle =$ a 2-particle matrix element

So far, this is simple.

But now comes the hard part:

Assume that the N particles are ***identical particles***.

Identical particles are indistinguishable.

I.e., the N-body wave function cannot tell which particle (k) has a particular set of quantum numbers (E_i).

$\therefore \Psi^+\Psi$ must be invariant under any interchange of coordinates, $\mathbf{x}_k \leftrightarrow \mathbf{x}_l$.

1a. BOSONS

$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1 \dots \mathbf{x}_N; t)$ must be *symmetric* with respect to interchange of any two coordinates; i.e.,

$$\Psi(\dots \mathbf{x}_k \dots \mathbf{x}_l \dots; t) = + \Psi(\dots \mathbf{x}_l \dots \mathbf{x}_k \dots; t)$$

Then also,

$$C(\dots E_i \dots E_j \dots; t) = + C(\dots E_j \dots E_i \dots; t)$$

Understand the notation:

k, l, \dots are particle labels; all are different;

$k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$;

$i, j, \dots =$ s.-p. state labels; *some might be the same*; $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \infty\}$;

The space of occupation numbers
(Fock space)

$C(E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_N)$ could depend on $3N$ quantum numbers (ignore spin; set spin = 0 since they are bosons);

e.g., $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, \dots, \mathbf{p}_N\}$

where $\mathbf{p}_k = (p_{kx}, p_{ky}, p_{kz})$.

But C could depend on as few as 3 quantum numbers,

$\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_1\}$ *for bosons!*

i.e., all the particles have the same quantum numbers.

In fact, by the exchange symmetry of the N -particle wave function, C is *completely determined by the list of occupation numbers*.

Imagine that all possible s.p. states can be listed in some order,
 $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_k, \dots \dots \dots\}$ “standard order”
(this is an *infinite* list).

Define occupation numbers :
 $n_i =$ the number of particles in the state $|E_i\rangle$.

So, for a *basis state* Ψ , the set of occupation numbers is

$$\{n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_i, \dots \dots \dots\}$$

For each i , $n_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}$;
and there is a crucial *restriction*,

$$\sum_i n_i = N \quad .$$

Then $C(E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_N) = \hat{C}(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots)$
because different orderings are equal.

$C(\{E\}; t)$ is symmetric w.r.t. any exchanges in the list

$$\{E\} \equiv \{E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_N\}$$

$\hat{C}(\{n\}; t)$ has no symmetry property;

$\{n\}$ is in the standard order;

and there is a restriction, $\sum_i n_i = N$.

The interpretation of $\hat{C}(\{n\}; t)$

We have

$$\hat{C}(n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots) = C(E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_N);$$

the right-hand side is invariant w.r.t. all permutations of the list

$$\{E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots, E_N\};$$

“symmetry of the wavefunction”

Probabilities and normalizations

We have

$$\int \Psi^\dagger \Psi \prod_{k=1}^N d\tau_k = 1$$
$$\sum_{\{E\}} |C(\{E\}; t)|^2 = 1$$

But $\sum_{\{n\}} |\hat{C}(\{n\}, t)|^2$ is not 1.

So \hat{C} is not a probability amplitude.

The probability amplitude for occupation numbers $\{n\}$ is

$$f_N(\{n\}, t) = \text{Sqrt}[N! / \prod n_i!] \hat{C}(\{n\}, t)$$

The probability amplitude for the list of occupation numbers is

$$f_N(\{n\}; t) = \left(N! / \prod_i n_i! \right)^{1/2} \hat{C}(\{n\}; t).$$

$N! / (\prod_i n_i!)$ = the number of distinguishable permutations of $\{E\}$ when the list of occupation numbers is $\{n\}$.

Normalization:

$$\sum'_{\{n\}} |f_N(\{n\}; t)|^2 = 1$$

(\sum' : prime implies the restriction $\sum_i n_i = N$)

Example. Consider N = 2.

- ❖ Both in the same state ;
 - $N! / (\prod n_i!) = 2! / 2! = 1$
 - $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_2)$
 - $C(E_\alpha, E_\alpha) = 1$
- ❖ Two in different states ;
 - $N! / (\prod n_i!) = 2! / (1! 1!) = 2$
 - $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) =$
 $1/\sqrt{2} [\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_2) + \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_2)]$
 - $C(E_\alpha, E_\beta) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $C(E_\beta, E_\alpha) = 1/\sqrt{2}$
 - $\hat{C}(\{1,1\}) = 1/\sqrt{2}$, $f_2(\{1,1\}) = 1$

Example. Consider N=3.

- ❖ All three in the same state ;
 - $\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_3)$
 - $f_3(\{3,0,0,0,\dots\}) = 1$
- ❖ All three in different states ;
 $1/\sqrt{6} [$
 $\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_3) + \psi_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_3)$
 $+ \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_3) + \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_3)$
 $+ \psi_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_3) + \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_\beta(\mathbf{x}_3)$
 $]$
 $f_3(\{1,1,1,0,0,0,0,\dots\}) = 1$

The most general state with N particles (bosons!) :

- The state would be a superposition of states with different occupation numbers;
- but *each component* would have to have $\sum n_i = N$.
- Thus, the *basis states* for the N-particle Hilbert space are

$$\Phi_N(\{n\} ; \{x\}) =$$

$$\text{Sqrt}[\prod n_i! / N!] \sum_P \psi_{E_1}(x_1) \psi_{E_2}(x_2) \dots \psi_{E_N}(x_N)$$

Homework due Friday, February 5 ...

Problem 11.

Three identical bosons are in a harmonic oscillator potential. The total energy is $9/2 \hbar\omega$. What is the most that you can say about the 3-particle wave function, $\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3)$.

Problem 12.

Consider two identical bosons (spin = 0) moving in free space, and interacting with each other. Approximate the 2-particle wave function by products of free waves with momenta \mathbf{p}_1 and \mathbf{p}_2 .

(a) Calculate the expectation value of the two-body potential $V(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$.

(b) Now suppose $V(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = U(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)$. Express the result of (a) in terms of the Fourier transform of $U(\mathbf{r})$.