Chapter 3 : GREEN'S FUNCTIONS AND FIELD THEORY (FERMIONS)

Review

We've developed a nice formal theory for describing many-particle systems. But what can we actually calculate?

What would we *want* to calculate? (Quantities that can be compared to experimental measurements !)

For realistic theories, we can't calculate anything exactly ---approximations are necessary.

8. WICK'S THEOREM

Wick's theorem is a formal result that will simplify calculations in perturbation theory.

Statement of the theorem

Any time-ordered product of operators can be expressed as the sum of normal-ordered products multiplied by *c*-number contractions.

```
T[A B C ... Z] = N[A B C ... Z]
+ x_{AB} N[C D E ... Z] + similar terms
+ x_{AB} x_{CD} N[E F G ... Z] + similar terms
+ all the rest
```

Why is that useful? Because the ground-state expectation value of any normal-ordered product is 0.

Preliminaries

(to motivate the importance of Wick's theorem)

The Green's function is the ground state expectation value of a certain operator. (The operator is the time ordered product of two field operators.)

<u>First consider a general problem</u> Start with the Heisenberg picture, <0| O_H(t) |0>. Now write this in the interaction picture (so that we can apply perturbation theory).

〈巫」 命(4) 王> / 〈巫/王> By the Gell Mann & Low theorem $\frac{|\underline{T}_{0}\rangle}{\langle \underline{F}_{0} | \underline{\Psi}_{0} \rangle} = \frac{\underline{V}_{c}(t=0; \pm \infty) | \underline{\Phi}_{0}\rangle}{\langle \underline{\Phi}_{0} | \underline{V}_{c}(t=0; \pm \infty) | \underline{\Phi}_{0} \rangle}$ (and we take the limit = = 0) 50 $\leq \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{0} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{e}^{+}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}) \, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mu}^{(\boldsymbol{\Theta})} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{e}(\boldsymbol{o}, -\boldsymbol{\omega}) | \underline{\underline{\mathbf{f}}}_{0} \rangle \\ < \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{\bullet} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{e}(\boldsymbol{o}, \boldsymbol{\omega}) | \underline{\underline{\mathbf{f}}}_{0} \rangle^{*} < \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{\bullet} | \boldsymbol{\mathcal{O}}_{e}(\boldsymbol{o}, -\boldsymbol{\omega}) | \underline{\underline{\mathbf{f}}}_{0} \rangle$ $\hat{O}_{H}(t) = V_{e}(o,t) \hat{O}_{I}(t) V_{e}(t,o)$ $U_{\epsilon}^{\dagger}(t, o) = \langle \overline{\Phi}_{o} | U_{\epsilon}(\omega, t) \hat{\partial}_{I}(t) U_{\epsilon}(t, -\omega) | \overline{\Phi}_{o} \rangle / den.$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Recall} \\ & \text{Recall} \\ & \text{Theorem} \\ & & \text{T} \begin{bmatrix} & \\ & \text{theorem} \\ & \text{T} \end{bmatrix} \\ & \text{Hence,} \\ & \leq \Psi_{o} | \mathcal{O}_{H}(t) | \Psi_{o} > / < \Psi_{o} | \Psi_{o} > \\ & = \sum_{v=o}^{\infty} (-i/\hbar)^{v} 1/v! \quad \int dt_{i} \ e^{-\varepsilon |ti|} \int dt_{2} \ e^{-\varepsilon |t2|} \dots \int dt_{v} \ e^{-\varepsilon |tv|} \\ & < \Phi_{o} | \ T \begin{bmatrix} H_{I}(t_{i}) \ H_{I}(t_{2}) \ \dots \ H_{I}(t_{v}) \ \mathcal{O}_{I}(t) \end{bmatrix} | \Phi_{o} > \\ & \text{where} \ \int dt_{i} \ means \ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} . \end{aligned}$$

 $U_{\epsilon}(t_{b},t_{a})=T \exp \left[-i/\hbar \int_{ta}^{tb} H_{I}(t') e^{-\epsilon|t'|} dt'\right]$

Recall,

$$\langle \overline{\Psi}_{0} | \widehat{Q}_{H}(t) | \overline{\Psi}_{0} \rangle = \langle \overline{\Psi}_{0} | U_{\varepsilon}(\infty, t) \widehat{O}_{\Gamma}(t) U_{\varepsilon}(t, -\infty) | \overline{\Psi}_{0} \rangle$$

$$\langle \overline{\Psi}_{0} | \overline{\Psi}_{1} \rangle = 1 \quad (1 + 1) \quad (1 + 1$$

3

Time ordering and normal ordering We already know time ordering...

★ T(A' B' C' D' ...) = (-1)^P x (A B C D ...) where {A B C D ...} are in time order.

Now, what is normal ordering?

Assume (as is usually the case) that the field operator has both annihilation terms and creation terms.

Example: In relativistic QED, ψ(x) annihilates electrons and creates positrons.

Example: In the quantum theory of metals, ψ(x) annihilates electrons above the Fermi energy ("particles") and creates "holes" below the Fermi energy.

Example: In the the nuclear shell model, ψ(x) annihilates nucleons above the filled shells ("particles") and creates holes in the filled shells.

So, we can write

$$\begin{split} \psi(x) &= \psi^{(+)}(x) + \psi^{(-)}(x) \\ &= \text{annihilation part plus creation part;} \\ \text{note } \psi^{(+)}(x) |\Phi_0\rangle &= 0. \end{split}$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) &= \psi^{(+)\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) + \psi^{(-)\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \text{creation part plus annihilation part;} \\ \text{note } \psi^{(-)\dagger}(\mathbf{x}) |\Phi_0\rangle &= 0. \end{split}$$

- ★ A product of field operators is in normal order if all the annihilation operators stand to the right of all creation operators.
- ★ N(A' B' C' ...) = $(-1)^{P}$ x (A B C ...) where {A B C ...} are in normal order.

Theorem. The expectation value in $\Phi_{_0}$, of a normal ordered product , is 0.

Wick's theorem

T(U V W ... X Y Z)

= N(U V W ... X Y Z) + all possible pairs of contractions.

See any quantum field theory textbook for the general proof.

```
Proof by examples (assuming fermions)
```

Suppose U V W are annihilation parts at later times than X Y Z which are all creation parts.

Consider

 $\Xi = T(UVW XYZ) = UVW XYZ.$

But this is not in normal order.

Move X to the left using the commutation relations.

$\Xi = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{V} (\{\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X}\} - \mathbf{X} \mathbf{W}) \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Z}$

= - UVXWYZ + c(W,X) UVYZ (the contraction is a c number)

In the first term move X to the left; in the second term move Y to the left. $\Xi = -(-UXVWYZ + c(V,X)UWYZ)$ + c(W,X)(-UYVZ + c(V,Y)UZ)

keep going, always moving creation parts to the left E = - XUVWYZ + c(U,X) VWYZ -c(V,X) (-UYWZ + c(W,Y) UZ) -c(W,X) (-YUVZ + c(Y,V) UZ) +c(W,X)c(V,Y) (- ZU + c(U,Z))

until all the terms are in normal order. $\Xi = - XYZUVW + c(U,X) YZVW + many similar$ -c(U,X) c(W,Y) ZV + many similar+c(W,X) c(V,Y) c(U,Z) + many similar

= N(UVWXYZ) + all possible pairs of contractions.

Now, what are the contractions?

In the interaction picture,
$$\psi(t)$$
 $\psi(-)$
 $\hat{\psi}(\vec{x}t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i} e^{-iu_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda}^{\dagger} \right]$
 $\hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} e^{i\omega_{k}t} \left[\Theta(k-k_{F})a_{E\lambda} + \Theta(k_{F}-k)b_{E\lambda} \right]$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_{L\lambda} \frac{e^{-iL\cdot\vec{x}}}{i\sqrt{pL}} u_{i}^{\dagger} = 0$
 $\psi^{(\pm)}(\vec{x},t) = \sum_$

$$C\left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right) = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] - N\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] - N\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] + \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] + \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] + \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) + \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] + \int_{\beta}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ Therefore & for \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ Therefore & for \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ Therefore & for \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ Therefore & for \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\beta}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(y)\right] = \int_{\alpha}^{2} G_{\alpha\beta}^{(6)}(xy) & \text{for } bx > by \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) \\ = T\left[\begin{array}{c} \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)}(x) & \psi_{\alpha}^{(4)$$

The Wick contraction is equal to the propagator function.

Feynman diagrams

Calculate the Green's function in perturbation theory.

 $iG_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \langle \Phi_0 | T [\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\beta} \dagger (\mathbf{y})] | \Phi_0 \rangle$ (H. picture)

x means (t_x,**x**) and y means (t_y,**y**).

$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-i/\hbar)^{\nu} 1/\nu! \int dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_{\nu} <0|T[H_I(t_1) H_I(t_2) \dots H_I(t_{\nu}) \psi_{\alpha}(x) \psi_{\beta} \dagger(y)]|0>$$
(Int. riv

(Int. picture)

 $= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-i/\hbar)^v \quad 1/v! \quad \int dt_1 dt_2 \dots dt_v$

{ the sum of all complete contractions }

i.e., all Feynman diagrams

Homework Problem due Friday, Feb 19

Problem 21.

The Wick contraction of $\psi_{\alpha}(x)$ and ψ_{β} † (y) is, by Wick's theorem,

$$= T[\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) , \psi_{\beta} \dagger (\mathbf{y})] - N[\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\psi_{\beta} \dagger (\mathbf{y})]$$

Prove:

c(
$$\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}), \psi_{\beta} \dagger (\mathbf{y})$$
) = i $G_{\alpha\beta}^{0}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$