

MANDL AND SHAW

Chapter 5. Photons: Covariant Theory

5.1. The classical field theory

5.2. Covariant quantization

5.3. The photon propagator

Problems; 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4

Chapter 6. The S-Matrix Expansion

6.1. Natural Dimensions and Units ✓

6.2. The S-matrix expansion ✓

6.3. Wick's theorem

Problems; none

MAIANI AND BENHAR

Chapter 5 - quantization in the Coulomb gauge;

Section 5.2 - how the covariant propagator includes the Coulomb interactions;

Section 8.4 - the photon propagator for QED.

Section 5.1. The Classical Electromagnetic Fields

Start with Maxwell's theory *in covariant form* ...

- The field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x})$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & E_x & E_y & E_z \\ -E_x & 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -E_y & -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ -E_z & B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{0i} &= -F^{i0} = E_i \\ F^{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} B_k \end{aligned}$$

- The field equations

(Cov. Maxwell equation #1)

$$\partial_\nu F^{\mu\nu} = s^\mu ;$$

which requires $\partial_\mu s^\mu = 0 ;$

conservation of charge

(Cov. Maxwell equation #2)

$$\partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu F^{\nu\lambda} + \partial^\nu F^{\lambda\mu} = 0 .$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \text{ and } \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = - \partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t$$

- The 4-vector potential $A^\mu(x)$

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\nu A^\mu - \partial^\mu A^\nu$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{0i} &= \partial^i A^0 - \partial^0 A^i \\ \mathbf{E} &= -\nabla\Phi - \partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ij} &= \varepsilon_{ijk} B_k = \partial^j A^i - \partial^i A^j \\ &= -\partial_j A^i + \partial_i A^j = \varepsilon_{ijk} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A})_k \end{aligned}$$

This satisfies (Cov.ME#2) automatically, i.e., for any $A^\mu(x)$.

And now (Cov.ME#1) becomes

$$\square A^\mu - \partial^\mu (\partial_\nu A^\nu) = s^\mu \quad (\star)$$

- The classical gauge transformation

$F^{\mu\nu}$ is invariant under the transformation

$$A^\mu(x) \rightarrow A'^\mu(x) = A^\mu(x) + \partial^\mu f(x)$$

for any scalar function $f(x)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} F'^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\nu A'^\mu - \partial^\mu A'^\nu \\ &= \partial^\nu (A^\mu + \partial^\mu f) - \partial^\mu (A^\nu + \partial^\nu f) \\ &= F^{\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

LAGRANGIAN DYNAMICS FOR ELECTROMAGNETISM

- What is the Lagrangian density?

Let's consider

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - s_\mu A^\mu \quad (\text{Lagr.1})$$

Is it Lorentz invariant? **YES**

Is it gauge invariant? **NO**

But $(\delta \mathcal{L})_{G.T.} = \partial^\mu (-s_\mu f)$ so the action $A = \int \mathcal{L} d^4x$, is gauge invariant. **OK**

The field equation for A^μ , i.e.,

Lagrange's equation, is ...

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\lambda \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\lambda \phi)} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} &= 0 \quad \text{where } \phi = A_\rho \\ &= \partial_\lambda \left(-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial (\partial_\lambda A_\rho)} \right) + s^\rho \\ &= \partial_\lambda \left(\underbrace{\delta_{\lambda\nu} \delta_{\rho\mu} - \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{\rho\nu}}_{\delta_{\lambda\nu} \delta_{\rho\mu} - \delta_{\lambda\mu} \delta_{\rho\nu}} F^{\mu\nu} \right) + s^\rho \\ &= -\partial_\nu F^{\rho\nu} + s^\rho = 0 \quad (\text{the Maxwell equation}) \\ &\quad \partial_\nu F^{\rho\nu} = s^\rho \end{aligned}$$

However, this Lagrangian density (Lag.1) is not compatible with canonical quantization.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - s_{\mu} A^{\mu} \quad \text{(Lag.1)}$$

Here is the problem: Try to calculate the canonical momentum as a 4-vector ...

$$\pi_{\phi} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \quad \text{and} \quad [\phi, \pi_{\phi}] = i\hbar \delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})$$

$$\text{For } \phi = A_{\rho} \Rightarrow \pi^{\rho} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 A_{\rho})} \quad (\rho=0,1,2,3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{i'} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 A_{i'})} = -\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial (\partial_0 A_{i'})} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu\nu} \{ \delta_{0\nu} \delta_{i'\mu} - \delta_{0\mu} \delta_{i'\nu} \} \\ &= -F^{i'0} = E^{i'} \quad (\text{That's OK}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\pi^0 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 A_0)} = 0, \text{ so canonical quantization FAILS ; } [A_0, \pi_0] \neq i\hbar \delta^3(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}).$$

Another classical Lagrangian density (Fermi)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}) (\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu}) - s_{\mu} A^{\mu} \quad \text{(Lag.2)}$$

First check the field equation:

$$\partial_{\lambda} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\lambda} A_{\rho})} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_{\rho}} = \partial_{\lambda} (-\partial^{\lambda} A^{\rho}) + s^{\rho}$$

$$\square A^{\rho} = s^{\rho}$$

It's OK, provided A^{μ} obeys the Lorentz gauge condition, $\partial_{\rho} A^{\rho} = 0$; see (☆).

Now check the compatibility with canonical quantization:

$$\pi^{\rho} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 A_{\rho})} = -\partial^{\rho} A^{\rho} \quad \text{not zero}$$

It's OK, but now there are 4 degrees of freedom: Trans₁, Trans₂, Long., A^0 .

Long. and A^0 are unphysical.

The Lorentz gauge condition in the classical theory.

In the classical theory, suppose we have fields (i.e., the tensor $F^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x})$) with a 4-vector potential $A^\mu(\mathbf{x})$.

But suppose $\partial_\mu A^\mu \neq 0$.

There exists a gauge transformation $A^\mu(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow A'^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = A^\mu(\mathbf{x}) + \partial^\mu f(\mathbf{x})$ such that $\partial_\mu A'^\mu = 0$.

Proof:

$$\text{Let } f(\mathbf{x}) = -\square^{-1}(\partial_\rho A^\rho)$$

\therefore The gauge transformation does not change the fields, $F^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x})$; and

$$\partial_\mu A'^\mu = 0.$$

The Lorentz gauge versus the Coulomb gauge.

$$\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$$

versus

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0 \text{ and } -\nabla^2 \Phi = j_0$$

The theory is gauge invariant;
i.e., *the physical predictions are the same for either gauge condition.*

The Coulomb gauge has an advantage: it is a “unitary gauge”. But it has a disadvantage: it is not manifestly Lorentz invariant.

The Lorentz gauge has an advantage: it is manifestly Lorentz invariant. But it has a disadvantage: it has unphysical degrees of freedom.

Section 5.2: ① Use the “Gupta-Bleuler formalism” to impose the condition $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$; and ② then don't worry about it.

Chapter 13: Path Integrals

Section 13.4. Gauge Independent Quantization?

“

As in the canonical formulation, the electromagnetic field cannot be consistently quantized using path integrals without ‘fixing a gauge.’

”

Chapter 14: Quantum Chromodynamics

Section 14.1. Gluon Fields

Section 14.1.5.

The electromagnetic field revisited.

“

It will be instructive to comment briefly on the result of applying the *Faddeev-Popov procedure* to the electromagnetic field...”

(In QED the ghost fields integrate to a mere normalization constant.)

But now going back to Section 5.1:

⚡ Plane wave solutions

The free field theory ($s^\mu(x) = 0$) has just

$$\square A^\mu = 0. \quad (\text{impose Lorentz condition later})$$

The plane wave solutions are

$$A^\mu(x) = \varepsilon_r^\mu(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x} \quad \text{with} \quad r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$$

where $k^0 = \pm |\mathbf{k}|$.

The **four** polarization vectors are normalized in some way; ε_1^μ and ε_2^μ are spatial and transverse w.r.t. \mathbf{k} , ε_3^μ is spatial and longitudinal, and ε_0^μ is (1,0,0,0).

The *general* solution is

$$A^\mu(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{r=0}^3 \left(\frac{\hbar c^2}{2\Omega\omega} \right)^{1/2} \varepsilon_r^\mu(\mathbf{k}) \{ a_r(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i k \cdot x} + a_r^*(\mathbf{k}) e^{i k \cdot x} \}$$

where $\varepsilon_0^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ time like,

$\varepsilon_3^\mu = \mathbf{k}/|\mathbf{k}|$ longitudinal, $\varepsilon_{1,2}^\mu = \text{transverse}$

Homework Problems

due Friday March 3

Problem 27

Mandl and Shaw problem 5.1

Problem 28

Mandl and Shaw problem 5.2

Problem 29

Mandl and Shaw problem 5.3

Problem 30

Mandl and Shaw problem 5.4