∎1

2 4.Waves4.0916.NB

$1\checkmark$

Chapter 9 - PLANE E. M. WAVES AND PROPAGATION IN MATTER

 \star Lecture #4 on waves in matter

 \star Section 9.6

"Modeling plasmas, metals and dielectrics"

COMBINING CONDUCTION AND POLARIZATION

 $\mathbf{m} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{x} + \gamma \, \vec{x} + \omega_0^2 \, \vec{x} \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{e} \, \vec{E}(\mathbf{t})$

Parameters:

 $\begin{array}{ll} -e &= \mbox{"effective negative charge"}; \\ m &= \mbox{"effective mass" of the negative charge }; \\ \gamma \mbox{ and } \omega_0 \ . \end{array}$

Plasmas, metals and dielectrics

Metals have both conduction electrons, for which $\omega_0 = 0$; and bound electrons—i.e., bound to ionic centers—for which $\omega_0 > 0$. Then there exists both conductivity and permittivity.

Harmonic wave propagation depends on this Maxwell equation,

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = (4\pi/c) \vec{J} + (1/c) \partial \vec{D} / \partial t$$

where

$$\vec{D} = \epsilon(\omega) \vec{\mathcal{E}} e^{i\left(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t\right)} \quad \text{(Re implied; } \omega\text{)}$$
$$\vec{J} = \sigma(\omega) \vec{\mathcal{E}} e^{i\left(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t\right)} \quad \text{(``)}$$
$$\therefore \nabla \times \vec{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 4\pi \sigma(\omega) - i \omega \epsilon(\omega) \end{bmatrix} / c$$
$$\times \vec{\mathcal{E}} e^{i(k \cdot x - \omega t)}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \left[4\pi \, \sigma(\omega) - i \, \omega \, \epsilon(\omega) \right] / c \vec{\mathcal{E}}$$
$$e^{i \, (k \cdot x - \, \omega t)}$$

We could write the equation in terms of an effective conductivity,

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = (4\pi/c) \sigma_{\text{eff}}(\omega) \vec{\mathcal{E}} e^{i(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t)}$$

where

$$\sigma_{\rm eff}(\omega) = \sigma(\omega) - {\rm i}\,\omega/(4\pi)\,\epsilon(\omega)~;$$

or an *effective permittivity*,

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = (-i\omega/c) \epsilon_{\text{eff}}(\omega) \vec{\mathcal{E}} e^{i(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-\omega t)}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{\rm eff}(\omega) = \epsilon(\omega) + (4\pi i/\omega) \sigma(\omega).$$

We'll use the latter approach.

THE DISPERSION RELATION FOR E. M. WAVES Recall the elementary result from Section 9.1,

$$c^2 k^2 = \epsilon \mu \omega^2$$
;
i.e., $n = c/v_{phase} = ck/\omega = \sqrt{\epsilon \mu}$

But now we'll have, for harmonic wave ω ,

$$c^{2} k^{2} = \mu \omega^{2} [\epsilon(\omega) + 4\pi i \sigma(\omega) / \omega].$$

[Exercise 9.5.5]

4.Waves4.0916.NB | 5

∎2

3√

Section 9.6 Modeling plasmas, metals and dielectrics

So, most generally, we can write

 $\epsilon_{\rm eff}(\omega) = \epsilon_{\rm bound}(\omega) + 4 \pi \, i \, \sigma_{\rm conduction}(\omega) / \omega$

From now on we'll just write $\epsilon(\omega)$ to

denote $\epsilon_{eff}(\omega)$.

 \implies Equation (9.148)

 $\epsilon(\omega) = 1$

+
$$\frac{4\pi e^2}{m}$$
 { Σ over i } $\frac{n_{b,i}}{\omega_{0,i}^2 - \omega^2 - i\omega\gamma_i}$

$$+ \frac{4\pi i}{\omega} \frac{e^2 n_c}{m} \frac{1}{\gamma - i\omega}$$

Low frequencies in a metal \implies Skin Depth Suppose

$$\omega \ll \omega_0$$
 and $\omega \ll \gamma$ and $\omega \ll \sigma(0)$;

then we can approximate

$$\epsilon(\omega) \approx \epsilon_0 + 4\pi i \sigma(0) / \omega \approx 4\pi i \sigma(0) / \omega$$

 $\therefore c^2 k^2 = \omega^2 \mu \epsilon \approx \omega^2 \mu [4\pi i \sigma(0) / \omega].$ Exercise : Derive equations for ϵ_0 and $\sigma(0)$

Then,

$$\operatorname{ck} \approx (1+i)\sqrt{2\pi\mu\sigma(0)\omega} \equiv (1+i) \operatorname{c}/\delta(\omega),$$

where

$$\delta(\omega) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{2 \,\pi \,\mu \,\sigma(0) \,\omega}}$$

 $\delta(\omega)$ is called the skin depth. (Check the units!)

The e.m. wave is damped exponentially with characteristic damping distance δ .

4.Waves4.0916.NB | 7

•3

8 4.Waves4.0916.NB 4√ The damped wave $\log \delta = 1;$ Plot[{Cos[x / δ], Re[Exp[$I * x / \delta - x / \delta$]]}, {x, 0, 10}, PlotRange → {All, All}, PlotStyle → {{Dashing[{0.01, 0.03}], Thickness[0.01], Blue}, {Thickness[0.01], Red}}, ImageSize → Large]

Damping of low frequency e.m. waves is typical in metals. "Low frequency" will include IR waves, and even visible light.

That is why metals are opaque. And that is why metals are shiny.

■5

10 4.Waves4.0916.NB

5√

HIGH FREQUENCIES ; SAY UV LIGHT AND BEYOND Consider dielectrics with $\omega \gg \omega_0$, or conductors (plasmas or metals) with $\omega \gg \gamma$. For these cases we can approximate

$$\epsilon(\omega) \approx 1 - \frac{4 \pi e^2 N}{m \omega^2} = 1 - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2}$$

where $\omega_p^2 = 4 \pi e^2 \text{ N} / \text{m}$;

 ω_p is called the *plasma frequency*.

NOTE: $N = n_b + n_c$ is the total density of electrons (bound + conduction). *The dielectric constant (* \equiv *effective permittivity) is less than 1, and depends on* ω *.*

 $\epsilon(\omega) = 1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega^2$

DIELECTRICS AT HIGH FREQUENCIES

 $\omega \gg \omega_0$ normally implies $\omega \gg \omega_p$ so $\epsilon(\omega)$ is slightly less than 1, and the index of refraction is slightly less than 1. This is not familiar; for *classical optics* the index of refraction is greater than 1. Recall $v_{\text{phase}} = c/n$. Here $v_{\text{phase}} > c$. (!)

CONDUCTORS (METALS AND PLASMAS) AT HIGH FREQUENCIES

 $\omega_0 = 0$ and $\omega \gg \gamma$.

But ω may be $< \omega_p$.

Then $\epsilon(\omega) = 1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega^2$ can be $\ll 1$ or even

negative. (!)

∎6

12 | 4.Waves4.0916.NB

6•

THE DISPERSION RELATION FOR WAVES IN A CONDUCTOR AT HIGH FREQUENCIES;

E.G., A PLASMA.

The dispersion relation for EM waves in the material becomes strongly ω dependent,

 $c^2 k^2 = \epsilon \mu \omega^2 = \omega^2 - \omega_p^2$ ($\mu = 1$)

For $\omega < \omega_p$,

$$ck = i \sqrt{\omega_p^2 - \omega^2}$$

so the waves are damped (b/c k is imaginary). E.g., microwaves cannot pass through a metal wall. Your cell phone may not work inside a building with metal construction.

Also, AM radio waves (535 - 1605 kHz) reflect from the ionosphere. Sky wave propagation.

For $\omega > \omega_p$, ck = $\sqrt{\omega^2 - {\omega_p}^2}$

so the waves propagate in the material (b/c k is real). For example, FM waves (88 - 108 MHz) propagate through the ionosphere. Note that *the phase velocity is greater than the speed of light*,

$$v_{\text{phase}} = \omega / k = c \omega / \sqrt{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2} > c.$$

Does that violate the theory of relativity?

(False statement: "Nothing can travel faster than the speed of light.")

The group velocity is less than the speed of light,

$$w_{\text{group}} = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = c \sqrt{1 - \omega_p^2 / \omega^2} < c$$

 $v_{\text{group}} * v_{\text{phase}} = c^2$

(True statement : "No signal can travel faster than the speed of light.")