

## Topic #3

### Jackson Chapter 6

Maxwell Equations,  
Macroscopic Electromagnetism,  
Conservation Laws

2

## Lecture 3-1 {Mon , Sept 30} Maxwell's Equations

Jackson Section 6.1

So far in PHY 842 we have used these field equations, for macroscopic electrostatics, magneto-statics, and quasi-static magnetic fields.

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{B} = \mu_0 (\vec{H} + \vec{M})$$

*One of these equations is wrong!*

Maxwell derived the field equations (~ 1865) from what was known about electricity and magnetism before his field theory.

And he realized that the equations *are not consistent for general time dependence*.

A mathematical identity :

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{H}) = 0 ;$$

so Ampere's Law implies  $\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0$  ;

but in general  $\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = -\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \neq 0$  .

To fix the inconsistency, Maxwell noted that Gauss's law implies

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} ;$$

so we can fix the problem by making this change:

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} .$$

Verify...

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \vec{H}) = 0$$

$$= \nabla \cdot \vec{J} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0. \quad \checkmark$$

Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \vec{D}}{\partial t} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{B} = \mu_0 (\vec{H} + \vec{M})$$

and charge is locally conserved

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{J} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0$$

$\iff$  macroscopic classical electrodynamics.

## Vector and Scalar Potentials

Jackson Section 6.2

We know from electrostatics and magnetostatics, we can simplify calculations by introducing *potentials*.

For general time dependence it goes like this:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{write } \vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0 = \nabla \times \left( \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right) = 0$$

$$\implies \text{write } \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \Phi$$

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{E} = -\nabla \Phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

## Gauge Transformations

Jackson Section 6.3

$\vec{A}(\vec{x},t)$  and  $\Phi(\vec{x},t)$  are not unique.

Consider these *transformations* of  $\vec{A}$  and  $\Phi$ ,

$$\vec{A} \longrightarrow \vec{A}' = \vec{A} + \nabla\lambda$$

$$\Phi \longrightarrow \Phi' = \Phi - \frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t}$$

where  $\lambda(\vec{x},t)$  is any scalar function

**Theorem.** These new potentials  $\vec{A}'$  and  $\Phi'$  describe the same  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$  fields as  $\vec{A}$  and  $\Phi$ .

## Proof

$$\vec{B}' = \nabla \times \vec{A}' = \nabla \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$$

because  $\nabla \times \nabla \lambda = 0$

$$\vec{E}' = -\nabla\Phi' - \frac{\partial\vec{A}'}{\partial t} = -\nabla\Phi - \frac{\partial\vec{A}}{\partial t} = \vec{E}$$

because  $-\nabla\left(-\frac{\partial\lambda}{\partial t}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\nabla\lambda) = 0$

Because the potentials are not unique, we can impose another condition on them — which is called “a gauge condition”.

In other words, if necessary apply a gauge transformation — *ie*, choose  $\lambda(\vec{x},t)$  — such that the gauge condition is satisfied.

### The Lorenz (or, Lorentz) gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

Then Maxwell's equations imply

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} = -\rho / \epsilon_0$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \vec{J}$$

ln(·) := scan

In empty space,

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \text{ and } \vec{E} = -\nabla \Phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \left( \vec{J} + \epsilon_0 \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} \right)$$

$\uparrow \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{H}$ 
 $\uparrow \vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E}$

$$\text{LHS} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A}) - \nabla^2 \vec{A}$$

$$\text{RHS} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( -\nabla \Phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \right)$$

$\mu_0 \epsilon_0 = 1/c^2$

$$= -\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} + \mu_0 \vec{J} - \frac{1}{c^2} \nabla \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} \right)$$

$$-\nabla^2 \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \vec{J} - \nabla \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot \vec{A} \right)$$

$\Rightarrow \text{is in Lorenz gauge}$

### The Coulomb (or, transverse) gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$$

Then Maxwell's equations imply

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -\rho / \epsilon_0$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \vec{J}_{\text{transverse}}$$

The Coulomb gauge is sometimes called the “radiation gauge”.

### The Lorenz (or, Lorentz) gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 0$$

Then Maxwell's equations imply

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2} = -\rho / \epsilon_0$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \vec{J}$$

Advantages and disadvantages:

(A) Causality is manifestly true

because all potential components propagate with velocity  $c$ ;

(A) Lorentz invariance is manifestly true

because  $A^\mu = \{\Phi, \vec{A}\}$  is a 4 vector.

(D) there are unphysical wave modes (timelike and longitudinal).

### The Coulomb (or, transverse) gauge:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$$

Then Maxwell's equations imply

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = -\rho / \epsilon_0$$

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \vec{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\mu_0 \vec{J}_{\text{transverse}}$$

Advantages and disadvantages:

(A) does not introduce unphysical wave modes;

(D) the gauge condition violates Lorentz invariance;

(D) causality is not manifestly true because

$\nabla^2 \Phi(\vec{x}, t) = -\rho(\vec{x}, t)$  violates causality.

The disadvantages create significant problems in quantum field theories, like Q.E.D. and Q.C.D.

## Gauge invariance in high-energy physics

The three fundamental parts of the Standard Model:

- Q.E.D. is a  $U(1)$  gauge theory.
- The electro-weak unified theory is an  $SU(2) \times U(1)$  gauge theory, with spontaneous symmetry breaking.
- Q.C.D. is an  $SU(3)$  gauge theory with respect to color transformations.

No one knows why all the fundamental interactions (except gravity) are gauge theories.