Simulation for Level 2

James T. Linnemann Michigan State University NIU Triggering Workshop October 17, 1997

Simulator Goals

Detailed simulation:

- Experts
- develop trigger algorithm
- verify trigger behavior (online v.s. simulation)
- debug nasty events
- Physics simulation tool Experts and Users
 - evaluate efficiencies, backgrounds
 - studies to set up triggers

Simulation Requirements

Serve Users and Experts

- Users = no new code, so no relink
- Users more interested in multiple platforms

- at cost of less precise simulation?

- Run on real (C++?) or on MC (Zebra?) data
 - which level? Raw, STA, DST, μ DST

- "natural" interface is raw (L1)

- not always available or fully recoverable

- Possible to drive from real trigger scripts
 - not easy for non-experts
 - many studies can be done with object ntuples

Michigan State University

User accessibility

- Object ntuple outputs (same for any script?)
 - tag with quality flags to cut on
 - what level object?
 - L1 objects ill-defined? "a combination passed > 10GeV"
 - L2 objects in preprocessors, or after cross-detector matching in global?
- How coupled are L1, L2, L3 simulations?
- Auxiliary output when trigger scripts needed?
 - Overlaps between bits?
 - Object traceability to what precision?
 - Tag objects with L1, L2, L3 bits passed?
- further tags to parameter sets (in run header)?
 Michigan State University
 11/7/97

Trigger Objects w/o Scripts? Design Trigger to Ease Simulator?

• L2 preprocessors with 1 variable parameter?

- Just lowest Pt object to save?
- But some quality cuts are in preprocessors
- Can't do once & for all if algorithm or cut vary
- L2 Global Objects:
 - here finally match across detectors
 - or even with L1 objects--depends on trigger script?
 - separate out matched object lists?
 - Online code might want to stop asap?
 - Online code might not run all matches every event?
 - Match code buried in higher level tools?

Michigan State University

Code Releases

Must have Production release, version stamp

- on MC too
- couple simulation to online trigger releases?
- What is a package?
 - L1, L2, L3?
 - Or Lower level processors, and frames/hi-level tools?
- Avoid coupling with RECO, GEANT? How?
- Constants, trigger hardware not fully captured
 - Database had no "releases"

(Extra) Design work needed

• L1: Programmer interface

- code to represent L1 HWFW to combine terms
 - L1 FW is hardware
 - L2, L3 it's software--code simulates itself so no new work
- interface between term-makers and L1 FW
- L1, L2, L3: mostly user interface
 - object ntupler
 - like online monitoring histo's
 - bit-by-bit summary
 - detail levels from overall to per-bit to details (experts)
 - (getting trigparse script to drive simulator)

Scripts: Coor Programming

- Trigparse: defaults to squash compexity
- Interesting issue (Shared with L3)
 - "quality" = {high,medium,low}
 - defined by sets of cuts for each tool
 - enforce by hiding these cuts (tools really have just a quality setting)?
 - Or, trigparse substitutes these cuts for "quality" flag?