

Compton-scattering

TAKING STOCK:

We defined the Time ordered Product (or Wick's Theorem Product) as

$$T[\Phi(x_1)\Phi(x_2)\dots\Phi(x_n)] = (-1)^P \Phi(x_1)\Phi(x_2)\dots\Phi(x_n)$$

where

$P = \#$ fermion operator permutations
 $x_{i_0} > x_{j_0} > \dots > x_{k_0}$

We found that for 2 operators

$$T[A(x)B(y)] = A(x)B(y) + \langle 0|T(A(x)B(y))|0\rangle$$

-- true for fermions
 -- true for bosons

We defined the number

$$\langle 0|T(AB)|0\rangle \equiv \overbrace{A(x)B(y)} \text{ called the contraction of } A \text{ and } B$$

I didn't prove, but included a diagram showing the contraction of A and B .

in 3 operators and was implied by induction the validity of Wick's Theorem

$$T(ABC \dots XYZ) = \overbrace{ABC \dots XYZ} + \dots$$

$$+ \overbrace{ABC \dots XYZ} + \dots$$

$$+ \overbrace{ABC \dots XYZ} + \dots$$

with all terms are contracted.

with Theorem is a path-saving device - and led to

225

a heuristic and intuitive picture of the physics (Feynman diagrams) - but it is not necessary in order to do calculations. For example, Schwinger doesn't use it.

So, in order to do a calculation to 2nd order one needs the S matrix operator

$$S^{(2)} = (-i)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x_2 P [T_I(x_1) T_I(x_2)]$$

from which one creates the S matrix element

$$g^{(2)} = \langle \text{free states} | S^{(2)} | \text{initial state} \rangle$$

We showed that for ψ 's with pairs of fermion-antifermion operators that $P [] = T []$ so.

$$S^{(2)} = (-i)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x_2 T [T_I(x_1) T_I(x_2)]$$

For the 2nd order interaction between charged fermions and the electromagnetic field,

$$L_I(x) = -e \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) A_\mu(x)$$

and

$$S^{(2)} = (-i)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^4x_2 T [\bar{\psi}(x_1) \gamma^\mu \psi(x_1) A_\mu(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) \gamma^\nu \psi(x_2) A_\nu(x_2)]$$

In general

$$T] = \rho_m \rho_v^T [\psi_1(x_1) \psi_2(x_1) A_\mu(x_1) \psi_1(x_2) \psi_2(x_2) \rho_m(x_2) A_\nu(x_2)]$$

which from Wick's theorem becomes

$$= \rho_m \rho_v^T \sum_{\mu \nu} Q(\mu \nu)$$

9 terms ... 8 of which are

vanish

- where: in specific process, just several electron-photon interaction (which includes photon-photon).

The individual terms in the sum $\beta^{(1)} - \beta^{(2)}$ each

represent potential 2nd order interactions among

2 fermions and a photon. The Feynman graphs

techniques (Feynman 1949) are used for organizing

the calculation in an intuitive, pictorial way.

I'll develop things first in configuration space and do

a calculation. But, we'll gradually find that momentum

space rules are more useful and it often becomes

more at that point.

So, for a 2nd order calculation we have 2 spacetime

vertices

x_2

x_1

(uncontracted)

For an unpaired operator $\psi(x)$ or $\bar{\psi}(x)$, we

draw a line with an arrow to or from a vertex

$$\equiv \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$\equiv \psi(x)$$

An unpaired $A_\mu(x)$ is either (no arrow)

$$\equiv A_\mu(x)$$


The contracted terms, however, are

$$T \langle 0 | \alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2) | 0 \rangle \equiv \overline{\alpha(x_1) \beta(x_2)}$$


but only $T \langle 0 | \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle = \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)}$

and $T \langle 0 | A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) | 0 \rangle = A(x_1) A(x_2)$

are vanishing. They are connected or connects between space-time points:



$$\langle 0 | \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle = \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)}$$




$$\langle 0 | A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) | 0 \rangle = \overline{A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2)}$$

Now we can break down the 8 vanishing terms in the Dyson expansion and sketch a space-time picture for each one -- recognizing potential physical processes as we go.


$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = \underline{\psi}(x_1) \psi(x_1) A_{\mu}(x_1) \underline{\psi}(x_2) \psi(x_2) A_{\nu}(x_2) ;$$

- the fully normal-ordered term - no contractions, \Rightarrow no connection between x_1 and x_2




$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} = \underline{\psi}(x_1) \psi(x_1) A_{\mu}(x_1) \underline{\psi}(x_2) \psi(x_2) A_{\nu}(x_2) ;$$

$$= \underbrace{\underline{\psi}(x_1) \psi(x_1) A_{\mu}(x_1)}_{x_1} \underbrace{\underline{\psi}(x_2) \psi(x_2) A_{\nu}(x_2)}_{x_2} ;$$




$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} = \underline{\psi}(x_1) \psi(x_1) A_{\mu}(x_1) \underline{\psi}(x_2) \psi(x_2) A_{\nu}(x_2) ;$$

$$= \underline{\psi}(x_1) \psi(x_1) \underline{\psi}(x_2) \psi(x_2) A_{\mu}(x_1) A_{\nu}(x_2) ;$$



which is topologically indistinguishable from $\Theta^{(1)}$


from $\Theta(s)$
 Indistinguishable
 Feynman



$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} = \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)} A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2);$$

$$= \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)} A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2);$$


self-energy



$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} = \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)} A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2);$$

$$= \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)} A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2);$$

Moller scattering,
 Bremsstrahlung,
 Positronium



$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} = \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)} A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2);$$

$$= \overline{\psi(x_1) \psi(x_2)} A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2);$$

vacuum
+ beschleunigen

$$\langle 0 | \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_1) \overline{\psi(x_2)} \psi(x_2) A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2)$$

$$= \boxed{\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}} : \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_1) \overline{\psi(x_2)} \psi(x_2) A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) :$$

vacuum
+ beschleunigen

$$\langle 0 | \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_1) \overline{\psi(x_2)} \psi(x_2) A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2)$$

$$= \boxed{\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}} : \overline{\psi(x_1)} \psi(x_1) \overline{\psi(x_2)} \psi(x_2) A_\mu(x_1) A_\nu(x_2) :$$

That's to general. Let's look at $\theta^{(2)}$

$$\theta_{mv}^{(2)} = \langle 0 | T [\psi_j(x_1) \psi_k(x_2)] | 0 \rangle : \psi_c(x_1) A_{\mu}(x_1) \psi_m(x_2) A_{\nu}(x_2) :$$

$$: \psi_c(x_1) \psi_m(x_2) A_{\mu}(x_1) A_{\nu}(x_2) :$$

||

$$: \psi_c(x_1) \psi_m(x_2) A_{\mu}(x_1) A_{\nu}(x_2) :$$

↑

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\psi_+ \psi_+ + \psi_- \psi_- + \psi_+ \psi_- + \psi_- \psi_+) : \\
 &\times (a^+ a + a^+ b^+ + b a + b^+ b) \\
 &= a^+ a + a^+ b^+ + b a + b^+ b
 \end{aligned}$$

When we go from here depends on the actual process.

Suppose we are considering classical Compton scattering: $e \rightarrow e \gamma$.

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{initial} &= |e, \gamma\rangle \\
 \text{final} &= |e, \gamma\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

Look at just the electron Fock space terms.

→ distinguish different momenta

$$\langle e^- | \psi(x) \psi(x_2) | e^- \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0 | a' \psi(x_1) \psi(x_2) | 0 \rangle$$

$$\textcircled{1} \langle 0 | a' a' a' | 0 \rangle \neq 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} \langle 0 | a' a' b + a' | 0 \rangle = - \langle 0 | a' b + a' a' | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | b + a' a' | 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\textcircled{3} \langle 0 | a' b a a' | 0 \rangle = - \langle 0 | a' a b a' | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | a' a a' b | 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\textcircled{4} \langle 0 | a' b + b a' | 0 \rangle = - \langle 0 | b + b a' | 0 \rangle = 0$$

$\{a, a'\} = 0$
 $\{a, a\} = 2a^2 \neq 0$
 $\{b, b\} = 2b^2 \neq 0$
 $\{b, b'\} = 0$

So, only $\langle e^- | \psi^-(x) \psi^+(a_2) | e^- \rangle$ survives. The same thing is true for the positron

From $\textcircled{3}$ we get anticommutator

$$\textcircled{3} \theta_{\mu\nu} = \langle 0 | T [\bar{\psi}_\mu(x) \psi_\nu(x_2) \psi_\mu(x_1) \psi_\nu(x_2)] | 0 \rangle = \psi_\mu(x) \psi_\nu(x_2) + A_\mu(x) A_\nu(x_2)$$

$$ab + a'a + b'b + b'a$$

↑ survives again

$$- \bar{\psi}_\mu^-(x_2) \psi_\nu^+(x_1)$$

*