

$$E_i = -g_{ik} \frac{\partial A^k}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial A^0}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial A^j}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial A^0}{\partial x^j} \equiv F_{ij}$$

remember that  $\partial_\mu \equiv (\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \nabla)$

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} = -\nabla A^0 - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t}$$

pressure  $A^\mu = [\phi, \vec{A}] = \xi \quad g^{\mu\nu} = [\eta, \vec{J}]$

Let's establish a covariant formalism in EM.

Although it's not necessary, it's useful and standard to deal with the vector and scalar potentials in a four-metric framework, founded on a Lagrangian formalism.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A} \quad \vec{E} = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \\ \text{automatically} \Rightarrow \text{M.E.'s} \end{array} \right.$$

The potentials are obtained as derived quantities by

$$\textcircled{B} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad \nabla \times \vec{E} + \frac{\partial \vec{B}}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\textcircled{A} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho \quad \nabla \times \vec{B} - \frac{\partial \vec{E}}{\partial t} = \vec{J}$$

Maxwell's equations:

The wave equations for EM are  $\vec{E}$  and  $\vec{B}$

For sm, ... so forth.

and

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$$

$$B_i = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j A_k = \epsilon^{ijk} g_{jm} g_{kn} \partial_m \partial_n A_k$$

$$B_i = \epsilon^{ijk} g_{jm} g_{kn} \partial_m \partial_n A_k$$

$$= \epsilon^{231} g_{22} g_{33} \partial_2 \partial_3 A_3 + \epsilon^{321} g_{33} g_{22} \partial_3 \partial_2 A_2$$

$$= (1)(-1) \partial_2^2 A_3^2 + (-1)(-1) \partial_3^2 A_2^2$$

$$B_i = \partial_2^2 A_3 - \partial_3^2 A_2$$

$$B_i = \partial_2^2 A_3 - \partial_3^2 A_2$$

$$B_i = -\partial_2^2 A_3 + \partial_3^2 A_2 = \partial_2^2 A_3 - \partial_3^2 A_2 = F_{32} = F_{23}$$

in a cyclic

or

so, we can put together to write the "Field Strength Tensor"

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

antisymmetric tensor

$$\begin{matrix} 3 \\ \uparrow \\ 0 \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_1 & E_2 & E_3 \\ -E_1 & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ -E_2 & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ -E_3 & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu$$

So, the H.E. are satisfied by the defining relations  
 derivative of the

$$\textcircled{B} \quad = -\frac{\partial B^2}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial E^2}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial E^1}{\partial x^2} = -\frac{\partial B^3}{\partial t} - (\nabla \times \vec{E})^3$$

$$= \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\partial F_{02}}{\partial x^1} - \frac{\partial F_{01}}{\partial x^2}$$

$$= \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial F_{02}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial F_{01}}{\partial x^2}$$

$$T_{021} = 0$$

As  $T_{123} \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$   $\textcircled{B}$

$$= - \left( \frac{\partial B^1}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial B^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial B^3}{\partial x^3} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \vec{B}$$

$$= \frac{\partial B^1}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial B^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial B^3}{\partial x^3}$$

$$T_{123} = 0 = \frac{\partial F_{23}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial F_{13}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial F_{12}}{\partial x^3}$$

Let's of some...

$$\text{So, } T_{0MN} = 0$$

cancel, etc.

$$= \bar{e} \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial x^0} + \bar{e} (\partial^{\nu\mu} - \partial^{\mu\nu}) + \bar{e} (\partial^{\nu\lambda} - \partial^{\lambda\nu}) + \bar{e} (\partial^{\lambda\mu} - \partial^{\mu\lambda})$$

$$T_{0MN} = \frac{\partial F_{MN}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial F_{0M}}{\partial x^N} + \frac{\partial F_{0N}}{\partial x^M}$$

Since  $F$  is antisymmetric, form

(sometimes one sees  $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}$ )  
 $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda} = 0$  when

Consider  $\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda}$

$$\frac{\partial F_{0\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda} = \frac{\partial F_{0\nu}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial F_{0\nu}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial F_{0\nu}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial F_{0\nu}}{\partial x^3}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda} = \frac{\partial F_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial F_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial F_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial F_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^3}$$

in order to be non-zero,  $\frac{\partial F_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda} \Rightarrow \lambda \neq \nu$

$$\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^1} + \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^3}$$

$$= -\frac{\partial E^1}{\partial x^0} + 0 + \frac{\partial B^3}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial B^2}{\partial x^3}$$

$$= -\frac{\partial E^1}{\partial t} + (\nabla \times \mathbf{B})^1$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = \left( -\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} + \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \right)^1 = \Delta^1 \quad \text{A}$$

and the Maxwell equations in the presence of sources can then be written in

$$\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = j_\mu$$

$$\text{and } T_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Remember that the  $A^n$  are arbitrary. There exist group transformations that leave the measurement unchanged. There are the fermion groups, each with its own use and subgroup formalism:

- Column's group:  $\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = 0$
- Lorentz group:  $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$
- Temporal group:  $A_0 = 0$

The Lorentz group is invariant and the ambiguity is expressed by

$$A^\mu \rightarrow A'^\mu = A^\mu + \partial\theta(x) \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}$$

where  $\theta(x)$  is some scalar function of  $x^\mu$ .

with:

$$F'^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial A'^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial A'^\nu}{\partial x^\mu}$$

$$= \partial^\nu (A^\mu + \partial\theta) - \partial^\mu (A^\nu + \partial\theta)$$

$$= \partial^\nu A^\mu + \partial^\nu \partial\theta - \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\mu \partial\theta$$

$$= \partial^\nu A^\mu - \partial^\mu A^\nu = F^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow E \text{ or } B \text{ don't change}$$

the magnetic measurement.

So, if  $\theta(x)$  is chosen such that,

$$\frac{\partial A^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial A^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} = -\partial^2 \theta(x) \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^\nu}$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \square\theta)$$

then,

$$\frac{\partial A^n}{\partial x^\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} (A^n + \frac{\partial}{\partial t})$$

$$= \frac{\partial A^n}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\text{no, } \frac{\partial A^n}{\partial x^\mu} = 0 \text{ is a change, (L.G.)}$$

Then, Maxwell's equations give, (no source)

$$\frac{\partial F^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left( \frac{\partial A^\mu}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial A^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 A^\mu}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial^2 A^\nu}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\mu} = 0$$

$$= \frac{\partial^2 A^\mu}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\nu} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left( \frac{\partial A^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \right) = 0$$

L.G. = 0

$$\frac{\partial^2 A^\mu}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\nu} = 0$$

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) A^\mu = 0$$

□  $A^\mu = 0$   
 a Klein Gordon wave equation for  $A^\mu$

From the above, the solution for source is

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial F^{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\nu} = j^\mu$$

$$\square A^\mu = j^\mu \quad \text{--- the source of } A^\mu$$

The correct Lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

which can be seen by

$$F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = F_{\mu\nu} F^{\alpha\beta} g_{\alpha\mu} g_{\beta\nu} \\ = F_{\mu 0} F^{\alpha 0} g_{\alpha\mu} g_{00} + F_{\mu 1} F^{\alpha 1} g_{\alpha\mu} g_{11} + F_{\mu 2} F^{\alpha 2} g_{\alpha\mu} g_{22} + F_{\mu 3} F^{\alpha 3} g_{\alpha\mu} g_{33}$$

$$\textcircled{A} \quad = F_{10} F^{\alpha 0} g_{\alpha 1} g_{00} + F_{20} F^{\alpha 0} g_{\alpha 2} g_{00} + F_{30} F^{\alpha 0} g_{\alpha 3} g_{00} \quad (F^{00}=0)$$

$$= F_{10} F^{10} g_{11} g_{00} + F_{20} F^{20} g_{22} g_{00} + F_{30} F^{30} g_{33} g_{00} \\ = (F_{01})^2 (-1)(1) + (F_{02})^2 (-1)(1) + (F_{03})^2 (-1)(1)$$

$$= -E_1^2 - E_2^2 - E_3^2$$

$$\textcircled{B} \quad = F_{01} F^{\alpha 1} g_{\alpha 0} g_{11} + F_{21} F^{\alpha 1} g_{\alpha 2} g_{11} + F_{31} F^{\alpha 1} g_{\alpha 3} g_{11} \quad (F^{11}=0)$$

$$= F_{01} F^{01} g_{00} g_{11} + \dots \\ = -(F_{01})^2 + (F_{21})^2 + (F_{31})^2 = -E_1^2 + B_2^2 + B_3^2$$

etc.  $\textcircled{C}$   $\textcircled{D}$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} (E^2 - B^2) \quad \checkmark$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations are formulated in covariant form:

for each  $\beta$ :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A^\beta} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A^\beta} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial A^i} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial A^i} = - \left\{ \frac{\partial F_{uv}}{\partial A^i} F_{uv} + F_{uv} \frac{\partial F_{uv}}{\partial A^i} \right\} e^{\frac{z}{2A^i}}$$

$$F_{uv} = \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i} \quad \text{from}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{uv}}{\partial A^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A^i} \left( \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i} \right) = \frac{\partial F_{uv}}{\partial A^i} e^{\frac{z}{2A^i}}$$

$$F_{uv} = \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i}$$

$$\frac{\partial F_{uv}}{\partial A^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A^i} \left( \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i} \right) e^{\frac{z}{2A^i}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial A^i} \left( \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i} \right) e^{\frac{z}{2A^i}}$$

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial A^i} = - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial A^i} \left( \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i} \right) F_{uv} + \left( \frac{\partial A^u}{\partial A^i} - \frac{\partial A^v}{\partial A^i} \right) \frac{\partial F_{uv}}{\partial A^i} \right\} e^{\frac{z}{2A^i}}$$

$$= - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F_{ux} - F_{vx} + F_{ux} - F_{vx} \right\}$$

$$= - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 2F_{ux} - 2F_{vx} \right\} = - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ F_{ux} - F_{vx} \right\}$$

$$= - F_{vx} = F_{ux} \quad \times$$



$$= \frac{1}{2} E^2 + \frac{1}{2} B^2 + \vec{E} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi$$

$$\mathcal{H}(x) = - (E^2) (-E^2) + (E^2) (\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_i}) - \frac{1}{2} E^2 + \frac{1}{2} B^2$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x_i} = (-\vec{\nabla} \phi) \cdot (-E^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(x) &= \pi^i A_i - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \pi^i \dot{A}_i - \mathcal{L} \\ &= \pi^i \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^0} - \mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$P^0(x) = \pi^i(x) = E^i$$

From above \*  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{(\pi^i A_i / x^0)} = P^0 \Rightarrow F^i_0$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}(x)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{(\pi^i A_i)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{(\frac{\partial A_i}{\partial x^0})}$$

To get the Hamiltonian.

$$\frac{\partial F_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{which we know gives 2 ME's}$$

we have equations of motion are (in case A<sup>3</sup>)

Interaction with em field -- vector way

Imagine a gauge  $U(1)$  rotation on Hilbert space  
 vectors which stand for spin  $1/2$  particles.

$$| \psi(x) \rangle \rightarrow R(\theta) | \psi(x) \rangle = e^{i q \theta} | \psi(x) \rangle$$

$$e^{i q \theta} | \psi \rangle = | \psi \rangle + \dots = | \psi \rangle + i q \theta | \psi \rangle = e^{i q \theta} | \psi \rangle$$

$\theta$  is some constant ("gauge") phase. What happens?

D.E.  $(i \partial - m) \psi(x) = 0$

transform it.

$$(i \partial - m) \psi(x) \rightarrow (i \partial - m) \psi(x) = (i \partial - m) e^{i q \theta} \psi(x) = 0$$

(i.e. same)

Direc's theory is invariant wrt a global  $U(1)$   
 transformation -- operator of conserved quantity  
 is  $Q$  and eigenvalues are conserved  
 quantum numbers -- electric charge

(a) baryon charge, (b) lepton charge

determine  $X^*$  so that

Define covariant derivative,  $D^m \equiv \partial^m + X^m$    
 ↗ same as with

gradient

Suppose you desire that an action theory must be invariant wrt local U(1) - lead to the

spots the invariance

$$i(\partial - m)\psi(x) - q\partial\psi = 0$$

$$-q[\partial_\mu \psi + i\partial_\mu \psi - m\psi] = 0$$

$$i\partial_\mu \psi e^{iq\theta(x)} - m\psi e^{iq\theta(x)} = 0$$

$$i\partial_\mu [\psi e^{iq\theta(x)}] + [i\partial_\mu \theta] \psi e^{iq\theta(x)} - m\psi e^{iq\theta(x)} = 0$$

The Dirac equation:

$$i\partial_\mu \psi \rightarrow i\partial_\mu [\psi] e^{iq\theta(x)} = e^{iq\theta(x)} i\partial_\mu \psi$$

↙ a function of spacetime

we consider a local U(1) transformation

$$p^x \rightarrow p^x - qA^x$$

$$\vec{p} \rightarrow \vec{p} - q\vec{A}$$

electromagnetic field?

Remember the time-reversed way to add an

$$(\hat{p} - m)\psi(x) = 0$$

$$i\hbar \partial_t [\partial_m + X_m] \psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$i\hbar \partial_t [\partial_m + X_m - i\partial_m b_r + \partial_m b_r] \psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$i\hbar \partial_t [\partial_m + X_m + i\partial_m b_r + \partial_m b_r] \psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$X_m \rightarrow X_m' = X_m - i\partial_m b_r$$

SHM not quite right - as need to be commutated  
 but an anticommutator in  $X_m'$ :

$$i\hbar \partial_t [\partial_m + X_m + i\partial_m b_r + \partial_m b_r] \psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$-q\partial_m b_r \psi + i\partial_m \psi + i\partial_m \psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$0 = \partial_m b_r \psi - m\psi + i\partial_m \psi + i\partial_m \psi$$

$$[i\hbar \partial_t (\partial_m + X_m) - m] \psi = 0$$

$$(i\hbar \partial_t - m)\psi(x) = 0$$

$$(i\hbar \partial_t - m)\psi(x) = 0$$

where  
 where  $A^m = [\phi, \vec{A}]$

quantity:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i q \phi$$

$$-\vec{\nabla} \rightarrow -\vec{\nabla} + i q \vec{A}$$

since  $\partial^m = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, -\vec{\nabla}]$

then, the minimum coupling rule says.

$$\partial^m = [\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, -\vec{\nabla}] \rightarrow [\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i q \phi, -\vec{\nabla} + i q \vec{A}]$$

$$\rightarrow \partial^m + i q \vec{A} \partial^m \equiv \partial^m$$

and we identify  $i q \vec{A} \partial^m \equiv X^m$ , the

substituting condition on  $X^m$  chosen to make things invariant wrt  $U(1)$ , hence,

$$X^m \rightarrow X^m - i q \partial^m \phi$$

$$i q \vec{A} \partial^m \rightarrow i q \vec{A} \partial^m - i q \partial^m \phi$$

$$A^m \rightarrow A^m - \partial^m \phi$$

which is gauge invariance  
 $\vec{A} \rightarrow \vec{A} + \vec{\nabla} \phi$   
 $\phi \rightarrow \phi - \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}$

So, the Dirac equation invariant under gauge transformation

$$(\not{\partial} - m)\psi(x) = 0$$

$$\not{\partial}^m (\not{\partial} + i\mathbf{A})\psi - m\psi = 0$$

$$(\not{\partial} - m - q\mathbf{A})\psi(x) = 0$$

$$(\not{\partial} - m)\psi(x) - q\mathbf{A}(x)\psi(x) = 0$$

Dirac equation

extra

$$(\not{\partial} - m)\psi(x) = q\mathbf{A}\psi(x)$$

electron charge has such in the Dirac equation

Dirac equation has such in the Dirac equation

Dirac equation has such in the Dirac equation

Dirac equation has such in the Dirac equation

$$(\not{\partial} + i\mathbf{A})\psi - m\psi - q\mathbf{A}\psi = 0$$

$$(\not{\partial} + i\mathbf{A})\psi - m\psi - q\mathbf{A}\psi + q\mathbf{A}\psi = 0$$

$$(\not{\partial} + i\mathbf{A})\psi - m\psi - q\mathbf{A}\psi = 0$$

$$\not{\partial}\psi = (\not{\partial} + i\mathbf{A})\psi - q\mathbf{A}\psi$$

$$\not{\partial}\psi = (\not{\partial} + i\mathbf{A})\psi - q\mathbf{A}\psi$$

Dirac

ans.  $H = \int d^3x \partial_t(x)$

$$= \int d^3x \frac{1}{2} (E^2 + B^2) + \int d^3x \vec{E} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \phi$$

integrate by parts

use  $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{E} = 0$  for us

source

\* not pay

From an earlier discussion we learned that the

correct way to account for the presence of the system is

to replace gradient operators in the "matter" with

a covariant derivative.

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow \partial_\mu + i q A_\mu \equiv D_\mu$$

This comes from the demand of local gauge invariance

$$\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{-i q \theta(x)} \psi$$

which is satisfied if  $A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu - \frac{1}{q} \partial_\mu \theta(x)$

which we will recognize as "regular" gauge invariance.

From the Lagrangian density in fermions,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \psi^\dagger \gamma^\mu \psi \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \psi^\dagger \gamma^\mu \psi \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left[ \psi^\dagger \gamma^\mu \psi \right]$$

then the Noether current is:

for a U(1) global phase invariance:  $\psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \psi$

conservation

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = -\lambda \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} + (\partial_\mu \psi)^\dagger \gamma^\mu \psi$$

correction: should have defined Noether current,

$$+ \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

if we accept that this leads to the addition of  
 the Noether, then we add the free EM piece  
 to get the complete Lagrangian density in  
 terms, photon, and their interaction.

$$= \psi^\dagger(x) \left[ i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right] \psi(x) - q \psi^\dagger(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) A_\mu$$

$$= \psi^\dagger(x) \left[ i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right] \psi(x) - q \psi^\dagger(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) A_\mu$$

$$\delta = \psi^\dagger(x) \left[ i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu A_\nu) - m \right] \psi(x)$$

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu$$

$$\delta = \psi^\dagger(x) \left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right) \psi(x)$$

lets add the EM field term,



the change

$$Q = \int_{D^3 \times \mathbb{R}} d^3x \dot{\phi} = \int_{D^3 \times \mathbb{R}} d^3x \dot{\phi} = \int_{D^3 \times \mathbb{R}} d^3x \dot{\phi}$$

we'll need quantum field theory to interpret.

uh, for a massive spin 1 field, an appropriate addition to the  $\mathcal{L}$  density is

$$+ \frac{1}{2} M^2 A_\mu^2$$

However, this is not locally gauge invariant and this was a serious problem discovered in the late 1950's which hindered a successful theory of the weak interactions until 1967.

source of the EM field

responsible for (the)

-- the electron current

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = q \psi = q \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = -q \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = F_{VM} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2}$$

$$(\lambda^2 \psi + m) \psi = q \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = \lambda^2 \psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) = \lambda^2 \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = -\psi m - q \psi$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = (\lambda^2 \psi - m) \psi = q \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} = (\lambda^2 \psi - m) \psi - q \psi$$

we find

So, if we follow the EL of prescription for an  $Z$  (mass)