Optional ISP220 Evaluation

turn in with final...4, 7, or 10 easy points May 2, 2017

As much as I enjoy lecturing ("performing"), I suspect that the ISP220 material is better understood when it can be delivered at the pace of the student rather than the professor. Hence, my venturing into "course flipping" territory this year.

You're unique since you've now seen both ways: the first 6 or so weeks with content delivery via video and the rest of the course in the traditional way. Inside, I ask you to contrast the two and make suggestions, along with more general questions.

This is personal for me. I'll be 67 years old in a couple of months and realistically I will not be able to keep up a Swiss-based research program forever at the intensity I've been doing it for 20 years. I'm ready to think about rebalancing my energies for probably my last decade and I'm inclined to devote more effort into improving and remodeling my ISP courses. You can help me with this and I'd appreciate it.

- What I'd like you to do is rip off this page and throw it away as the previous paragraph
 is more touchy-feely than I'm comfortable with, but I wanted you to appreciate my
 seriousness.
- At the final, give the rest of this to Dan who will decide if your industriousness (not your opinions, but your seriousness) warrants 4, 7, or 10 points.
- He'll mark that on your named (next) page and separate your named-page from the evaluation. That way, your review is anonymous.

Thanks for your help. Have a good summer!

Chip Rooth

¹Years ago I invented another course for honors students that is the history of physics, history of art, and the philosophy of science all wrapped up in one semester. It's ISP213, Navigating the Universe

I did the evaluation.	Please gi	ve me	my p	oints.		
Your Name:						
Date:						
This evaluation is we	orth:	4	7	10	points.	

1 Quick responses

1. Ove	rall Quality of the Course	;		
(a)	The overall quality of th	is course experien	ce was (1=poor, 3 =	excellent):
	1	2		3
(b)	I learned (1=nothing, 3	= tons):		
	1	2		3
(c)	I felt that I understood	(1=nothing, 4=e	everything):	
	1	2	3	4
2. Deta	ails			
(a)	The mathematics in lect	ures was (1=impo	ossible to follow, 3 =	acceptable):
	1	2		3
(b)	The mathematics require	ed of the homewor	rk was (1=difficult, 3	= easy):
	1	2		3
(c)	The homework in genera	l was (1=difficult	3 = easy:	
	1	2		3
(d)	The lectures in general v	vere (1=terrible, 3	$\mathbf{B} = \text{terrific}$:	
	1	2		3
(e)	The projects in general	were (1=terrible, 3	3 = terrific):	
	1	2		3

	homework	tests	quizzes	fake-facebook bio	book reviews
(g)	The 2 tasks ${f I}$	liked t	he most	were (circle):	
	homework	tests	quizzes	fake-facebook bio	book reviews
(h)	The manuscrip	ot was ((circle):		
	(1=unreadable	= 3 = F	Pulizer-wo	rthy):	
	1			2	3
(i)	The future of	the mai	nuscript s	hould be (circle):	
	(1=non-exister	nt, 3 =	keep writ	ing, please):	
	1			2	3

(f) The 2 tasks ${f I}$ liked least were (circle):

(j) The 3 topics ${f I}$ liked least were (circle):

vectors	motion	forces	energy	
electrostatics	${ m magnetism}$	electromagnetic forces	early quantum theory	
quantum mechanics	quantum field theory	quarks	Feynman Diagrams	
accelerators	detectors	messenger fields	quantum numbers	
symmetry arguments	general relativity	black holes	early cosmology	
Big Bang tests	Standard Model	cosmic evolution	future of particle physics	

(k) These topics I didn't like should be:

be dropped	tweaked	redone entirely

(l) The 3 topics I liked best were (circle):

vectors	motion	forces	energy	
electrostatics	${ m magnetism}$	electromagnetic forces	early quantum theory	
quantum mechanics	quantum field theory	quarks	Feynman Diagrams	
accelerators	detectors	messenger fields	quantum numbers	
symmetry arguments	general relativity	black holes	early cosmology	
Big Bang tests	Standard Model	cosmic evolution	future of particle physics	

(m) What you left out and should have covered were:

(n)	I found the website			
	bad	efficient	great	
(o)	I found the wiki			
	bad	efficient	great	
(p)	I found using Facebook for	communication		
	bad	efficient	great	
(q)	I would recommend this co	ourse to (circle):		
	someone I hate	my friends	people I love	
3. All i	n all:			
(a)	I rate this course as (circle):		
	1.0,	2.0,	3.0,	4.0,
(b)	and I (circle):			
	wish I'd taken something e	lse.	glad I took ISP220.	

The idea of flipping ISP220 $\,$

First, have you ever taken an on-line university course or a flipped course?
Did you like it (them) or dislike it (them)? Why?
My goals for the video delivery were: it should be friendly, attractive, technically complete, and it should be easier to learn ISP220 material in fllpped form than sitting in a lecture.
Were there any technical issues? Sound? Multiple device-unfriendly? Connection issues?
How did I do in achieving the above four goals (use back if necessary)?

What should I have done differently?
What did you like?
If I do this big-time, I would make the in-class experience better. I think I blew that this winter. I'd make the worksheets available before-hand, require some before-class work, and give points for people who do that before-class work. (I've a colleague who has students upload a photograph of their before-class efforts to D2L.) Comments?
As you know, I'm gearing up to record the rest of ISP220. What I need to do better is motivate that everyone watch in a timely way. I don't know how to do that yet. I see multiple ways for me to proceed. Comment to each, please! • Erase what you've done! Stop now, before anyone else gets hurt!

• Go for it! Record the whole course!
• Keep the future just like Spring 2017. Have the mechanics through electromagnetism be on video, and the rest of the course in lecture.
• Do some topics on video and some topics in lecture. If you like this idea, which topics require an in-class lecture?
• Do you have any idea how to insure that everyone watches the videos and watches them in a timely way?
• In general, do you have any suggestions for the flipping idea? Continue on the back of the sheet if you've got a lot to say!

 $4.\ \,$ General Comments and suggestions about ISP220: