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ABSTRACT

We present new Chandra observations of a high-redshift (z ~ 1) galaxy cluster discovered in the Red-Sequence Cluster Survey (RCS): RCS 043938-2904.7. X-ray luminosity measurements and mass estimates are consistent with $L_X - T_X$ and $M_{500} - T_X$ relationships obtained from low-redshift data. Assuming a single cluster, X-ray mass estimates are a factor of $\sim 10 - 100$ below the red-sequence optical richness mass estimate. Optical spectroscopy reveals that this cluster comprises two components, which are close enough to perhaps be physically associated. We present simple modeling of this two-component system, which then yields an X-ray mass and optical richness consistent with expectations from statistical samples of lower redshift clusters. An unexpectedly high gas mass fraction is measured assuming a single cluster, which independently supports this interpretation. Additional observations will be necessary to confirm the excess gas mass fraction and to constrain the mass distribution.

Subject headings: galaxies: clusters: individual (RCS 043938-2904.7) — X-rays: galaxies: clusters

1. INTRODUCTION

There is considerable interest in measuring the evolution of the galaxy cluster mass function with redshift. In principle, such a measurement can test structure formation and constrain the cosmic expansion history. One of the observational challenges in such an undertaking is to identify all clusters in a particular volume; the other is to reliably infer cluster mass from observable cluster properties, over a range of cluster redshifts. Recent optical surveys, such as the Red-Sequence Cluster Survey (RCS; Gladders & Yee 2005) and the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (e.g., Koester et al. 2007), have found large numbers of clusters at moderate to high redshift, with $0.2 \leq z \leq 1$ and $0 < z \leq 0.3$, respectively. At the high-redshift extent, where spectroscopic information can be difficult to obtain on a survey scale, various optical properties, such as richness or optical luminosity, can be taken to be mass proxies and have been shown to be strongly correlated with observables understood to be related to cluster mass, such as galaxy velocity dispersion and X-ray temperature (e.g., Yee & Ellingson 2003; Lin et al. 2003; Popesso et al. 2004; Lopes et al. 2006; Hicks et al. 2006). In order to use optical richness (or any other optical property) as a mass proxy, understanding the evolution of the mass-richness relation is critical to extracting cosmological information, since any evolution in the relation must be separated from the evolution of the mass function. In particular, some care must be taken when attempting to extend the mass/observable relation to high
redshift, as many of these relations are computed using local data.

Previous work has shown that optically selected, high-redshift clusters at a fixed optical richness are typically lower in temperature and underluminous in X-rays when compared to local clusters (Hicks et al. 2005; Gilbank et al. 2004; Lubin et al. 2002; Donahue et al. 2001). Since these clusters generally follow the local luminosity-temperature relationship, the low temperatures and luminosities are interpreted as an indication that the cluster galaxies are not in virial equilibrium with the X-ray–emitting gas. However, it is important to account for the significant scatter in the relation between optical richness and X-ray luminosity, as an Eddington-like bias will scatter points of lower than expected X-ray luminosity into an optically selected sample (e.g., Bower et al. 1994). Observing these high-redshift clusters is thus an avenue to study how clusters approach equilibrium.

RCS 043938-2904.7 is part of a larger sample of high-redshift, high-richness RCS clusters selected for X-ray follow-up observations. X-ray properties of the sample as a whole are described in a forthcoming paper by Hicks et al. (2008). Here we present Chandra X-ray observations and optical spectroscopy of this apparently rich, X-ray underluminous object. In § 2 we describe the X-ray data reduction and analysis. In § 3 we compare mass estimates from the X-ray data to the known mass-temperature and mass-richness relations in the literature. In § 4 we describe the optical spectroscopy, in § 5 we discuss our results, and we summarize in § 6. Throughout the paper we take a standard ΛCDM cosmology with H₀ = 70 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹, Ω₀ = 0.3, and Ωₐ = 0.7. At the cluster redshift, z = 0.9558, 1″ corresponds to a metric distance of 6.64 kpc. Errors are indicated with 90% confidence intervals unless otherwise noted.

2. X-RAY OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

2.1. Reduction

The X-ray data consist of two Chandra ACIS-S3 observations. A log of the observations of RCS 043938-2904.7 is presented in Table 1. Both observations were performed in the VFAINT mode, and the CTI correction was applied with a focal plane temperature of -120°C. Data reduction was done using CIAO version 3.2 tools and CALDB 3.3.0 starting with the level 2 event lists from the standard Chandra pipeline.

The first observation of RCS 043938-2904.7 (obsID 3577) had a highly variable background, and the second observation was kindly provided by the Director of the Chandra X-Ray Center to compensate. This second observation (obsID 4438) had a low background and was analyzed by standard light-curve methods to select the acceptable background rate intervals, keeping 28.7 ks of the total 28.8 ks. More care had to be taken with obsID 3577 because standard light-curve methods eliminated more than three-quarters of the data as unusable.

In order to maximize the useful portion of the data, we took the following approach. We binned the data into 100 s segments and sorted them from lowest number of counts to highest number of counts. We assume that the cluster flux is constant in time. This means that the signal-to-noise ratio is highest in the bin with the least counts and lowest in the bin with the most counts. Suppose then that there are S signal counts in each bin and Cn total counts in the first n bins. Since Cn monotonically increases with n, we want to find the lowest value of n for which

\[ \frac{S}{\sqrt{C_n}} > \frac{S(n+1)}{\sqrt{C_{n+1}}}, \]

or equivalently,

\[ n > \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_{n+1}/C_n} - 1}, \]

meaning that adding the data in the (n + 1)th bin will reduce the total signal-to-noise ratio in our filtered data set. This determined the time intervals that we included in our final data set independent of the source count rate. In total, 64.6 ks of the total 76.2 ks of data (85%) from obsID 3577 were kept. These data were combined with the second observation (obsID 4438) using the CIAO script merge_a11, for a total good-data exposure time of 93.3 ks. The same script was used to create an exposure map and exposure-corrected image.

The X-ray data were then filtered to the energy range of 0.3–7 keV, and point sources were identified and removed using wavdetect. Pulse-height spectra and associated response files were created from a 100 pixel (49.2") radius circular region around the X-ray centroid. Background spectra were obtained from another 100 pixel radius circular region over 400 pixels (196.8") away from the cluster center, although still from the same merged image. Care was taken to choose the background region in a location where the two observations overlapped. Spectral analysis was performed using XSPEC version 12.2.0 (Arnaud 1996), and cluster emission was modeled as an optically thin thermal plasma (Mewe et al. 1985, 1986). The redshift was fixed at the value determined from optical spectroscopy, and the heavy-element abundance was fixed at 0.3 times solar abundance (Anders & Grevesse 1989). An attempt was made to determine the metal abundances, but the data do not provide a significant constraint. The spectral model also included Galactic photoabsorption. The nH FTOOL from NASA’s HEASARC (Dickey & Lockman 1990) was used to fix the column density of H1. Spectra were binned so that a minimum of 20 counts were in each energy bin.

2.2. Temperature, Surface Brightness, Mass Profile, and Gas Mass Fractions

The best-fit temperature, fluxes, and luminosities (with 90% confidence intervals) are listed in Table 2. The bolometric luminosity was estimated by integrating the model spectra between 0.05 and 50 keV, and over the 49.2" radius aperture. The corresponding
metric aperture radius at the cluster redshift is 391 kpc for RCS 043938-2904.7.

We extracted a radial profile of the cluster emission from the exposure-corrected image. Point sources were removed using the CIAO script dmfilth, and a β-model (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1976) was fit to the data. Data for the fit were taken from a circular aperture with a radius of 98.4″. This aperture was split into circular annuli with 4.92″ thicknesses and fit using the Sherpa program included in the CIAO software. The β-model for the surface brightness is

\[ I(r) = I_0 \left( \frac{r}{r_0} \right)^{-3\beta + 1/2}, \]

where \( r_0 \) is taken at 0.59 for fully ionized hydrogen and helium of cosmic abundances. To test the validity of the isothermality assumption, we fit temperatures for two portions of the spectral aperture: a circular region about the center of the emission and an annulus immediately outside the circle. The border between these subregions was chosen so that the annulus and the circle each contain roughly the same number of source counts. Results are consistent with the isothermal assumption but provide no additional constraint.

We extrapolated the mass profile to obtain \( r_0 \), the radius at which the spherically averaged cluster density is \( \delta \) times the critical density at the cluster redshift, and \( M_\text{crit} \), the cluster mass within \( r_0 \). We evaluate these parameters for \( \delta = 200, 500, \) and 2500. We detect cluster emission above background to a radius of approximately \( r_{500} \), meaning that we see cluster emission from the majority of the area inside the aperture used for obtaining the spectral data (\( r_{500} = 38.9\pm 2.0 \) vs. the spectral aperture of 49.2″). Values for \( M_\delta \) and \( r_\delta \) are listed in Table 4. We find that although the X-ray temperature, and by extension the inferred mass, is low compared to other RCS clusters of similar richness, the measured core radius is comparable (Hicks et al. 2008). Last, we estimate the cluster gas mass and gas mass fraction at these three density contrasts by applying our β-model for the gas density and comparing to our mass estimates. Results from this analysis are presented in alongside the mass estimates in Table 4.

### 3. RELATIONS BETWEEN OBSERVABLES

#### 3.1. Mass-Temperature Relation

We compare the values of \( M_j \) calculated from the β-model to the mass-temperature relations for \( M_{500} \) and \( M_{2500} \) determined by Vikhlinin et al. (2006) in Figure 1. This figure also includes data from six other RCS clusters, similarly analyzed, for comparison. A full treatment of this larger sample will be included in Hicks et al. (2008). We scaled masses by \( E(z) \equiv H(z)/H_0 \) to account for redshift evolution expected in the self-similar model (e.g., Bryan & Norman 1998). The \( M-T_X \) relation is then parameterized as

\[ E(z) M_\delta = M_0 \left( \frac{T_X}{5 \text{ keV}} \right)^{\alpha} h_{70}^{-1} M_\odot, \]

with \( M_0 \) and \( \alpha \) taken directly from Vikhlinin et al. (2006): for \( \delta = 500, M_0 = 2.93 \pm 0.16 \) and \( \alpha = 1.61 \pm 0.11 \); for \( \delta = 2500, M_0 = 1.28 \pm 0.05 \) and \( \alpha = 1.64 \pm 0.06 \). RCS 043938-2904.7 agrees with the relation (at 90% confidence) for both \( \delta = 500 \) and 2500.

An additional mass estimator based on X-ray data that is known to have a tight correlation with the cluster mass is the integrated pressure \( Y_\delta \equiv M_\delta T_X \) (Kravtsov et al. 2006; Nagai et al. 2007). We compare our cluster data to the relation obtained through simulations done by Kravtsov et al. (2006) and with the relation obtained from Chandra data by Nagai et al. (2007). Our data agree at the 90% confidence level with both relations.

### 3.2. Luminosity-Temperature Relation

We adopt the luminosity-temperature parameterization of a simple power law and redshift evolution following the self-similar evolution model

\[ E(z)^{-1} L_X = L_0 \left( \frac{T_X}{6 \text{ keV}} \right)^{\alpha} h_{70}^{-2} \text{ erg s}^{-1}. \]

### TABLE 4

**Total Mass, Total Gas Mass, and Gas Mass Fraction Estimates**

| \( \delta \) | \( r_\delta \) | \( M_j \) | \( M_{\text{gas, } \delta} \) | \( f_{\text{gas, } \delta} \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>510±100</td>
<td>4.6±0.9</td>
<td>1.05±0.37</td>
<td>0.23±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>310±100</td>
<td>2.6±1.7</td>
<td>0.45±0.25</td>
<td>0.17±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500</td>
<td>90±20</td>
<td>0.33±0.10</td>
<td>0.03±0.03</td>
<td>0.09±0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( M_j \) | \( M_{\text{gas, } \delta} \) | \( f_{\text{gas, } \delta} \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9.2±4.0</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>0.16±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2±4.0</td>
<td>0.64±0.35</td>
<td>0.12±0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0±3.0</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Mass values are for a model and density contrast in units of \( h_{70}^{-1} \) Mpc.

- a The physical radii corresponding to each of the three density contrast radii in \( h_{70}^{-1} \) Mpc.
- b Total mass for each model and density contrast in units of \( h_{70}^{-1} \) Mpc.
- c Gas mass for each model and density contrast in units of \( h_{70}^{-1} \) Mpc.
- d Gas mass fractions for each model and density contrast in units of \( h_{70}^{-1} \) Mpc.
For the temperature dependence exponent and normalization, we take the local relation determined by Arnaud & Evrard (1999) for luminosity in the 2–10 keV band extrapolated to \( r_{200} \). This gives

\[
\log_{10} L_0 = 0.54 \pm 0.03 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 2.88 \pm 0.15.
\]

Maughan et al. (2006), who analyzed 11 high-\( z \) clusters observed with XMM-Newton and/or Chandra, find this relation to be an acceptable fit to their data as well. Their assumed redshift evolution includes an additional factor to account for evolution of the density contrast needed for virialization, but they note that it is dominated by the self-similar evolution factor. Given the size of the errors in our measurements, we neglect this smaller term. We compare our extrapolated luminosity in the 2–10 keV band with the relation in Figure 2, which shows that the present data are consistent with the local relation. Six other RCS clusters, whose complete treatment will be presented in Hicks et al. (2008), are included in the figure for comparison.

3.3. Mass-Richness Relation

Optical richness can be quantified using the \( B_{gc} \) parameter introduced by Longair & Seldner (1979), which has been shown to correlate well with cluster velocity dispersion, as well as other observables that have been used for cluster mass proxies (Yee & Ellingson 2003). See Yee & López-Cruz (1999) for a detailed review of richness measures. The RCS measures a modified version of this parameter, \( B_{gc,R} \), considering only the red-sequence galaxies in their richness calculation as an attempt to better estimate the cluster mass (Gladders & Yee 2005). The mass-richness relation is parameterized as

\[
M_{200} = 10^{4} B_{gc,R}^{\alpha}(1+z)^{10^{14} M_\odot},
\]

as in Gladders et al. (2007). The measured \( B_{gc,R} \) value for RCS 043938-2904.7 of 1591 ± 494 \((h_{70}^{-1}\text{ Mpc})^{1.77}\) (also listed in Table 3) was derived from the RCS data using the method described in Gladders & Yee (2005). We use the relation obtained by K. Blindert et al. (2006) from a dynamical study of 33 low- to moderate-redshift (\( z < 0.6 \)) RCS clusters. They found values of

\[
A = -5.7 \pm 3.4 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 2.1 \pm 1.2 \quad (68\% \text{ confidence}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pm 0.46 \text{ dex scatter in mass at a constant richness.}
\]

This is consistent with the values measured by Yee & Ellingson (2003) for the X-ray–selected CNOC1 sample, over a similar redshift range. The Blindert sample was limited in redshift and places no constraint on redshift evolution, so we take \( \gamma = 0 \). This is also consistent

\[
E_{x}(z) L_{M_\odot} = 10^{4} B_{gc,R}(1+z)^{10^{14} M_\odot},
\]

as in Gladders et al. (2007). The measured \( B_{gc,R} \) value for RCS 043938-2904.7 of 1591 ± 494 \((h_{70}^{-1}\text{ Mpc})^{1.77}\) (also listed in Table 3) was derived from the RCS data using the method described in Gladders & Yee (2005). We use the relation obtained by K. Blindert et al. (2006) from a dynamical study of 33 low- to moderate-redshift (\( z < 0.6 \)) RCS clusters. They found values of

\[
A = -5.7 \pm 3.4 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = 2.1 \pm 1.2 \quad (68\% \text{ confidence}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pm 0.46 \text{ dex scatter in mass at a constant richness.}
\]

This is consistent with the values measured by Yee & Ellingson (2003) for the X-ray–selected CNOC1 sample, over a similar redshift range. The Blindert sample was limited in redshift and places no constraint on redshift evolution, so we take \( \gamma = 0 \). This is also consistent
with the values and evolution inferred from the cosmological study of Gladders et al. (2007). In Figure 3 we compare our cluster to the Blindert relation. Assuming that the spherically symmetric $\beta$-model is a good representation of the system, RCS 043938-2904.7 is a significant outlier, with far too large a richness mass for its X-ray mass. Optical spectroscopy measurements of RCS 043938-2904.7 show evidence of significant substructure, as will be described in § 4.

4. OPTICAL SPECTROSCOPY

Optical spectra have been obtained for galaxies in RCS 043938-2904.7 (Gilbank et al. 2007; Barrientos et al. 2004). The details of the observations are given in these papers. Briefly, the data sets comprise 34 spectroscopically confirmed members of the RCS 043938-2904.7 system, with redshifts from LDSS-2 and IMACS on the 6.5 m Magellan Baade telescope and FORS2 on the VLT. The spectra of Gilbank et al. (2007) were classified on a scale of 1–5 in terms of redshift quality. For this discussion we take classes 1–3 to be secure redshifts and class 4 to be lower confidence redshifts. Redshift measurements were not possible for class 5 spectra. The VLT spectra of Barrientos et al. (2004) have been reclassified onto the same system. To this sample we add recent spectroscopy from FORS2 on the VLT, similar to those described in Barrientos et al. (2004). These data will be presented in L. F. Barrientos et al. (in preparation).

As discussed in Gilbank et al. (2007), RCS 043938-2904.7 displays a clear double peak in the redshift histogram at $z \sim 0.96$. Figure 4 shows a redshift histogram centered on the mean redshift of the two components, $z = 0.9558$. Only galaxies within a radius of 5, corresponding to a projected distance of $2.0 h_{70}^{-1}$ Mpc, are considered. Fitting a double Gaussian to the peaks yields central locations of the two peaks that are insensitive to the redshift quality class under consideration. The separation of the two peaks in the mean rest frame ranges from 3000 to 3250 km s$^{-1}$ depending on the redshift quality chosen. The difference between the two cases is comparable to the redshift uncertainty on a single measurement ($\sim 200$ km s$^{-1}$; Gilbank et al. 2007).

With the new spectroscopy, there are now enough redshifts to attempt to measure approximate velocity dispersions for the two components. Using all galaxies of redshift quality 4 or better gives $\sigma = 1080 \pm 320$ km s$^{-1}$ for the $z = 0.9435$ component and $\sigma = 560 \pm 160$ km s$^{-1}$ for the $z = 0.9681$ component, where the errors are based on jackknife uncertainties as in Gilbank et al. (2007). Such velocity dispersions would correspond to masses of $7.5 \times 10^{14}$ and $1.0 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$, respectively (Carlberg et al. 1997). However, velocity dispersion estimates based on so few points may be biased and the formal uncertainties are large enough that the values are consistent with both components having equal velocity dispersions. We note that both peaks in the velocity histogram contain approximately equal numbers of galaxies and use this, coupled with the velocity dispersion uncertainties, to adopt the conservative assumption that both systems have approximately equal masses. Refined mass estimates from dynamics will require more redshifts, and such work is ongoing. Figure 5 shows the spatial distribution of these galaxies on the sky in a composite $R_-, I_-, K$-band image, overlaid with X-ray contours. Contours begin 1 $\sigma$, assuming Poisson statistics, above the average number of counts per pixel in the entire image (which is essentially the background) and are spaced in 0.25 $\sigma$ intervals. Figure 6 shows the same X-ray contours along with RCS galaxy overdensity contours, starting at 2 $\sigma$ and spaced in 0.25 $\sigma$ increments, as well as the positions of galaxies with measured spectroscopic redshifts, including field galaxies and galaxies from each of the two redshift peaks. The two redshift peaks overlap in position on the sky, and thus it is not possible to say for certain which system is responsible for the X-ray emission measured, or whether both systems contribute, although the latter seems most...
likely. From Figures 5 and 6 it can be seen that the peak of the X-ray emission is nearly coincident with the brightest cluster galaxy (BCG) of the $z = 0.94$ cluster and that the emission is elongated in the direction of the $z = 0.96$ cluster’s BCG. This adds further support to the idea that the observed X-ray emission is likely due to a combination of emission from each of the two systems.

Figure 3, as noted above, shows $B_{gc,R}$ plotted against $M_{200}$ for our RCS 043938-2904.7 and a selection of other RCS clusters. RCS 043938-2904.7 lies well outside the region bounded by the 1 $\sigma$ scatter, appearing significantly richer than its X-ray mass would suggest. This is not surprising given that the spectroscopy discussed above suggests that RCS 043938-2904.7 is an extended structure containing two comparable, less rich systems. Since these systems lie so close together in redshift that their red sequences overlap, the red-sequence $B_{gc,R}$ measurement is the sum of that of both these systems. A more reasonable estimate of the true richness associated with the measured X-ray mass, in light of the double-peaked velocity distribution, would be to halve the measured $B_{gc,R}$. Doing so brings the X-ray mass and the richness mass into agreement, within the large scatter.

5. DISCUSSION

RCS 043938-2904.7 has an inferred X-ray mass that is 1–2 orders of magnitude lower than the mass indicated by its red-sequence richness, assuming that the X-ray emission is from a single, spherical, isothermal gas distribution and that the richness is associated with a single cluster ($M_{200,X} = 4.6 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{13} M_\odot$ versus $M_{200,B_{gc,R}} = 1.1 \times 10^{15} \pm 0.46$ dex $M_\odot$). This is a significant discrepancy for two reasons. First, as mentioned before, richness is well correlated on average with other observable properties. Second, clusters with masses above $10^{15} M_\odot$ are quite rare, especially at $z \sim 1$. This means that if the richness mass estimate of RCS 043938-2904.7 were truly indicative of its size, it would be among the largest overdensities in the observable universe, while also being very underluminous. Yet the X-ray properties, which suggest a much less massive object, are consistent with the expected $M_{\text{X}}-T_{\text{X}}$ and $L_{\text{X}}-T_{\text{X}}$ relations, meaning that the temperature of the plasma is consistent with its observed distribution. One possible interpretation of these results is that the red-sequence galaxies used to measure the optical richness and the X-ray–emitting gas trace different volumes. Specifically, while the X-ray

---

Fig. 5.—Three-color image (red, green, and blue represent $K$, $I$, and $R$ bands, respectively) of RCS 043938-2904.7 with overlaid green X-ray contours. North is up and east is to the left, and the image is 2$\arcmin$ (797 kpc) on a side. The X-ray image was smoothed using a 0.75$\arcsec$ Gaussian kernel, and X-ray point sources were removed using the CIAO script dmfilth. Contours begin 1 $\sigma$ above the mean counts per pixel (assuming Poisson statistics), and contours are separated by a 0.25 $\sigma$ spacing.
luminous plasma is confined within one or more deep gravitational potential wells, the galaxy population may occupy a more extended region that is not yet dynamically relaxed. The existence of such a structure in RCS 043938-2904.7 is supported by its two-peaked radial velocity distribution.

Merging and dynamically active clusters are expected and observed to be increasingly common at higher redshifts (e.g., Jeltema et al. 2005). Thus, any cluster sample will include an increasing fraction of dynamically young systems at high redshift. Furthermore, N-body simulations indicate that a significant fraction of cluster samples selected using broadband color discrimination will be systems whose galaxy members are not predominantly associated with a single, large potential well, but rather are spread among a number of smaller, but still physically associated, dark matter halos distributed along the line of sight (Cohn et al. 2007). Simulations of the RCS technique on mock catalogs tuned to reproduce observables such as the observed galaxy color distribution and the two-point correlation function show that we expect false-positive cluster detections to occur at a frequency of ~5% in the RCS (Gladders 2002). This agrees with initial results based on a small number of clusters (Gilbank et al. 2007; Blinding et al. 2008).

Since the red-sequence galaxies used in the RCS richness measurements form very early (z ≥ 2) in high-density regions, overdensities of red-sequence galaxies can be associated with very large structures that may not be relaxed during the observed epoch. The X-ray luminous plasma, on the other hand, will be confined to one or more gravitational potential wells whose size is limited by the collapse timescale. In the hierarchical collapse paradigm of a LCDM universe, the large, virialized wells seen in local clusters do not develop until long after the galaxies have formed. In this scenario, for any evolving cluster, there is likely to be an epoch at which the apparent richness overestimates the virialized mass.

Moreover, the misinterpretation of the X-ray emission from a complex, dynamically young cluster as a single, virialized structure can lead to an overestimate of the inferred gas mass fraction and an underestimate of the total mass, which can be seen as follows. Let us consider two models for the X-ray emission seen in RCS 043938-2904.7. Our one-component model (model I) is the set of assumptions used in our X-ray analysis thus far: X-ray emission is from a single, spherically symmetric distribution of gas in hydrostatic equilibrium with a gravitational potential that is well described by a β-model. The two-component model (model II) assumes that there are two nominally identical (i.e., with the same values of β, r0, and T0), virialized gas distributions, separated along the line of sight, and that each of the two gas distributions is responsible for half of the measured X-ray flux. Each component is assumed to obey the local Mv-TX and LX-TX relation.

Because it assumes that the flux is halved between the two components, the luminosity inferred per cluster in model II is half that of model I. The luminosity scales as the square of the gas mass, so since the spatial distribution is the same for each cluster, the inferred gas mass and thus also the electron density will be reduced by a factor of \(\sqrt{2}\) in each of the clusters relative to model I. Since our total mass estimate for each cluster depends only on the temperature of the X-ray-emitting gas and its distribution in the plane of the sky, each of the two components in model II will have the same total mass as the single component in model I. This means that the inferred gas mass fraction will be a factor of \(\sqrt{2}\) lower using model II versus model I. The total mass, total gas mass, and gas mass fraction results using each of the two models can be found in Table 4.

Similarly, \(n\) identical components along the line of sight would reduce the inferred gas mass per component and the overall gas mass fraction by a factor of \(\sqrt{n}\), in addition to reducing the luminosity per component by a factor of \(n\). In principle, luminosity measurements and the \(L_x-T_x\) relation constrain the number of components allowed, but our luminosity errors are too large to distinguish between models I and II.

Mathiesen & Evrard (2001), using a suite of hydrodynamic cluster simulations, found that during merger events the ICM spectral temperature will underestimate the mass-weighted ICM temperature by ~20%, because the cool, denser inflowing gas will dominate the emission over the gas already heated by the merger. This means that for a dynamically young system, such as RCS 043938-2904.7, where even the most virialized components are likely to have undergone recent mergers, X-ray mass estimates for those clusters may still be below the virialized masses by several tens of percent. In a recent detailed study of the cluster Cl 0024+17, Jee et al. (2007) found a similar underestimation of the true mass distribution due to the assumption of a single virialized mass structure rather than two components extended along the line of sight and in a state of ongoing dynamic interaction.

In summary, the assumption of spherical symmetry and virial equilibrium for a cluster system containing extended line-of-sight structure and dynamic evolution can lead to overestimation of the gas mass fraction and underestimation of the total mass. The baryon mass fraction in relaxed galaxy clusters is expected to be a universal quantity (e.g., White et al. 1993; Vikhlinin et al. 2003), suggesting that the comparison of cluster gas mass fractions inferred by assuming spherical symmetry to canonical cluster values might be used to infer the presence of line-of-sight structure. As noted by White et al. (1993) the cosmological baryon mass ratio, \(\Omega_b/\Omega_m\) provides an upper limit to the total gas mass fraction. This ratio from the WMAP 3 yr data results is \(\Omega_b/\Omega_m = 0.175 ± 0.012\) (Spergel et al. 2007).

Galaxy cluster samples at high redshift are expected to have lower cluster gas mass fractions than local samples (e.g., Hicks et al. 2008; Sadat et al. 2005; Ettori et al. 2004). Also, a positive correlation between temperature and gas mass fraction has been observed (e.g., Vikhlinin et al. 2006; Sanderson et al. 2003). This suggests that a high-redshift cluster with a low X-ray temperature, such as RCS 043938-2904.7, ought to have a correspondingly low
gas mass fraction. Instead, we observe a very high gas mass fraction assuming that it is a single, spherical matter distribution, especially measured within a large radius. At $r_{500}$, we find $f_{\text{gas}, 500} = 0.17 \pm 0.07$. We observe cluster emission to approximately this radius, so this gas mass fraction estimate is unlikely to have the extrapolation errors that the measurement at $r_{200}$ might. Assuming that model II is more appropriate for the physical state of RCS 043938-2904.7 lowers the gas mass fraction toward what is expected.

Would this pair of clusters be physically associated? As an order-of-magnitude argument, we note that the richness mass (~$1 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$) is roughly equal to that of a sphere of radius ~13 Mpc with the cosmic density of $\rho = 0.96$. Optical spectroscopy shows that the redshift separation of the two components in RCS 043938-2904.7 is $\Delta z \approx 0.005$, or a physical separation of about 12 Mpc in the Hubble flow. This rough agreement supports the conclusion that RCS 043938-2904.7 is an incompletely virialized system that is still approaching equilibrium, and that the galaxy distribution traces unvirialized matter extended along the line of sight, as well as the virialized matter traced by the X-ray gas. The free-fall collapse time for the two components given by the richness mass is approximately equal to the look-back time, indicating that this cluster would be nearly virialized by about the present epoch.

Additional observations are required to determine the physical state of RCS 043938-2904.7. Due to the low number of source photons from this cluster, there are large errors in the X-ray luminosity and temperature. Improvements on both would increase the precision of the X-ray mass estimates and the gas mass fractions. An independent mass estimate, such as might be obtained from weak lensing, could also help distinguish which mass estimate (richness or X-ray) is more appropriate, as well as giving additional insight into the distribution of matter. A weak-lensing measurement, although difficult, would be particularly interesting for this system if it could trace the spatial distribution of cluster mass perpendicular to the line of sight, possibly revealing more substructure. Additional spectroscopy, currently underway, will help to further constrain line-of-sight structure.

6. SUMMARY

We present new Chandra observations and optical spectroscopy measurements for the high-redshift galaxy cluster RCS 043938-2904.7 detected in the Red-Sequence Cluster Survey. We have obtained a spectral temperature and estimated total mass, gas mass, and gas mass fractions from the X-ray data. The measured X-ray properties and mass estimates agree well, within errors, with the locally derived $L_x - T_x$ and $M_x - T_x$ relations for galaxy clusters, but not with the local $M_{200}/B_{200}$ relation. Spectroscopy of RCS 043938-2904.7 shows a two-peaked velocity distribution, suggesting substructure along the line of sight. Assuming that there is a single, virialized mass distribution in RCS 043938-2904.7 results in gas mass fraction measurements that are higher than others in the RCS, which may also indicate line-of-sight structure. Accounting for the substructure with a two-component model for the mass distribution brings the richness mass into agreement with the X-ray mass and the gas mass fractions into agreement with expected values. Weak-lensing measurements, additional X-ray observations, and additional spectroscopy would be useful in further constraining both the total amount of mass in the system and its distribution.
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