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Recent experimental and theoretical results presented in the working group "Parton densities from DIS and hadron colliders to LHC" at the DIS2010 workshop are summarized in this contribution.
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Parton distribution functions (PDFs) are determined from global analyses of a wide range of data resulting from a variety of hard-scattering processes; these processes include deep inelastic scattering (DIS) of leptons off of a nucleon, lepton pair production in hadron-hadron collisions (the Drell-Yan process), and jet production in proton-antiproton and lepton-proton collisions. (cf. Table 1). The data used in these global analyses are continually updated, taking advantage both of improvements to the data sets and of progress in the theory. At this workshop, new experimental results from HERA, Fermilab, and JLAB experiments were presented and analyzed in the context of PDF determination [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Data used</th>
<th>QCD order</th>
<th>Scheme</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABKM</td>
<td>DIS+DY</td>
<td>NLO/NNLO</td>
<td>FFN</td>
<td>[2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTEQ</td>
<td>DIS+DY+jets</td>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>GMVFN</td>
<td>[3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERAPDF</td>
<td>DIS</td>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>GMVFN</td>
<td>[4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR</td>
<td>DIS+DY</td>
<td>NLO/NNLO</td>
<td>FFN</td>
<td>[5]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSTW</td>
<td>DIS+DY+jets</td>
<td>NLO/NNLO</td>
<td>GMVFN</td>
<td>[6]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNPDF</td>
<td>DIS+DY+jets</td>
<td>NLO</td>
<td>ZMVFN</td>
<td>[7]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Recently published nucleon PDF sets, with a brief description of the data used in the fit, the theoretical accuracy and the factorization scheme employed to model the heavy-quark DIS contribution. The table is taken from Ref. [8].

The large sample of data accumulated in the fifteen years of successful HERA operation provides a comprehensive view of the proton, particularly at small values of the Bjorken variable $x$. The latest HERA results presented in this DIS2010 workshop include (1) the combination of DIS cross sections measured at various proton-beam energies, (2) the extraction of the longitudinal structure function $F_L$, and (3) updated neutral current (NC) and charged current (CC) measurements. Recent HERA data measurements have resulted in improved determination of both PDFs and of the weak coupling constants.

A new combined data set on inclusive $e^+p$ DIS cross sections measured at different proton-beam energies ($E_p = 920$ GeV, $E_p = 460$ GeV and $E_p = 575$ GeV) was recently produced by the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations [9]. The variation of the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ allows for the discrimination of the structure functions $F_L$ and $F_2$. Since DIS cross sections are proportional to $F_2 - y^2 F_L / (1 + (1 - y)^2)$, $F_L$ and $F_2$ can be extracted from measurements at two or more different values of inelasticity $y = Q^2 / x s$. The combination of the H1 and ZEUS data includes the cross-calibration of two experiments, and results in an improved precision for the data. The proton structure function $F_L$ is extracted from the combined cross sections in the range of $2.5 \leq Q^2 \leq 800$ GeV$^2$ (see Figure).

In addition to the combined HERA data of Ref. [9], a new extended NC measurement of the $e^+p$ inclusive cross sections at different proton-beam energies has been obtained by the ZEUS Collaboration [9]. For this analysis, satellite vertex events were used to access $Q^2$ values down to 5 GeV$^2$ for the reduced proton beam energies. This measurement should further improve constraints on $F_L$ provided by HERA.
The combined data of Ref. [9] were employed in a QCD fit taking into account QCD corrections up to NNLO [10]. Two variants of the fit with two different cuts on $Q^2$ were tried. In this way, the sensitivity of the PDFs to the low $Q^2$ portion of the data was determined. The fit is sensitive to the treatment of the heavy quark contribution to the inclusive DIS cross sections. In particular, the predictions based on the optimized Thorne prescription of Ref. [11] undershoot the HERA $F_L$ measurement (see Figure ). The ACOT prescription [12, 13] and the fixed-flavour number (FFN) scheme are in better agreement with the data.

New NC and CC DIS cross section measurements at high $Q^2$ were obtained by the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations using the HERA-II sample obtained with longitudinally polarised leptons. These data provide additional constraints on PDFs through the NC polarisation asymmetries and test the chiral structure of the weak interactions.

The ZEUS Collaboration has measured the CC cross sections based on a sample with an integrated luminosity of 132 $pb^{-1}$ and different polarizations of the positron beam [14]. The H1 Collaboration has determined the CC cross sections using the complete HERA-II data sample with polarized $e^+$ and $e^-$ beams [15]. In order to achieve better precision in the CC data, the HERA-II CC polarised measurements were combined with the unpolarised HERA-I data. The combined sample corresponds to the total luminosity of 280.8 $pb^{-1}$ and 165.5 $pb^{-1}$ for $e^+ p$ and $e^- p$ scattering, respectively.

The NC double-differential cross sections for $e^\pm p$ scattering with longitudinally polarised lepton beams were measured by the H1 Collaboration [16]. The charge dependent polarisation asymmetry in the NC cross sections is sensitive to the quark vector and axial couplings to the Z boson. Similar to the case of CC interactions, the NC cross sections were combined with the earlier H1 measurements. The structure functions $x\bar{F}_3$ and $xF_3^{Z\prime}$ were extracted from the combined unpolarised cross section measurements.

A new method to measure the NC cross sections up to values of $x$ close to 1 was employed by the ZEUS Collaboration [17]. In this region, PDFs are poorly known and the data of Ref. [17] provide valuable additional constraints for the global PDF fits. The NC cross sections were extracted at $Q^2 \geq 575$ GeV$^2$ using the $e^- p$ collision data sample with an integrated luminosity of 187 $pb^{-1}$. This is a factor of ten larger than the one used for the earlier ZEUS measurement [18]. The system-
atic uncertainties are also reduced compared to Ref. [18] due to improved kinematic reconstruction methods. The data of Ref. [17] were found to be in good agreement with the predictions based on the CTEQ6D PDFs.

A new combined electroweak and QCD analysis was performed by the H1 Collaboration using the full low and high $Q^2$ HERA data. Weak vector and axial couplings of light up- and down-type quarks to the $Z$ boson ($v_q, a_q$) were extracted from the combined fit simultaneously with the PDFs. Due to the additional sensitivity of the polarised NC measurement to the quark vector coupling, the accuracy of the vector coupling $v_q$ was improved with respect to earlier results based on the unpolarised HERA data only [19]. The constraint obtained on the up-type quark coupling $v_u$ from HERA is better than those obtained from LEP [20] and from the TEVATRON [21] (see Figure 2). Moreover, the HERA data are also sensitive to the sign of weak couplings.

Figure 2: The 68% confidence level for the determination of the weak couplings of up-(left) and down-type(right) quarks to the $Z$ boson determined in a combined electroweak-QCD analysis using the full HERA H1 data [16]; the results are compared with the corresponding results published previously using the HERA-I data alone [19]; couplings determined by the LEP [20] and TEVATRON [21] are given for the comparison.

The H1 Collaboration presented proton structure function measurements at low and medium $Q^2$ determined from the first period of HERA operation. The inclusive double differential cross sections for $e^+ p$ scattering were obtained at $12 \leq Q^2 \leq 150$ GeV$^2$ for an integrated luminosity of 22 pb$^{-1}$ [22]. The data were collected at the beam energies of $E_e = 27.6$ GeV and $E_p = 920$ GeV and were combined with earlier data obtained with $E_p = 820$ GeV. The typical precision of the combined measurements is $1.3 - 2\%$. This is a record level of accuracy for DIS measurements. The NLO QCD fit to the H1 data based on the new measurements provides an improved determination of the gluon and quark densities in the proton, particularly at small $x$ [23]. The analysis of Ref. [23] includes the study of the experimental, model and parameterisation uncertainties in PDFs.

The inclusive NC double differential cross sections of $e^+ p$ scattering at HERA were obtained by the H1 Collaboration at small $x$ and low $Q^2$ ($0.2 \leq Q^2 \leq 12$ GeV$^2$) [24,25]. These data were collected in two dedicated periods with nominal and shifted interaction vertex, where a shifted vertex provided better acceptance at low $Q^2$. The two samples overlap at $0.5 \leq Q^2 \leq 3.5$ GeV$^2$, and the
proton structure functions $F_2$ and $F_L$ were extracted from the combination of these measurements. The data at $Q^2 \lesssim 2$ GeV$^2$ correspond to the transition between DIS to photoproduction regimes. With the improved data accuracy, one can discriminate between different theoretical approaches used to model $F_2$ and $F_L$ in this region [25].

The inclusive $e^\pm p$ NC and CC cross sections measured by the H1 and ZEUS Collaborations in the first period of HERA operation were combined into a common data set [26]. The combined data cover the range of $6 \cdot 10^{-7} < x < 0.65$, $0.045 \leq Q^2 \leq 30000$ GeV$^2$ for the NC and $0.013 < x < 0.4$, $300 \leq Q^2 \leq 30000$ GeV$^2$ for the CC scattering, respectively. The H1 and ZEUS input data used in the combination were found to be consistent with each other with $\chi^2/DOF = 636.5/656$. The total uncertainty reached with this combination is 1% for NC interactions in the most accurately measured region ($20 < Q^2 < 100$ GeV$^2$) [4]. A NLO QCD analysis of the combined $e^\pm p$ scattering cross-section data was performed and a new set of parton distribution functions, HERAPDF 1.0, was obtained from the analysis [4]. The experimental uncertainties in the PDFs were reduced with respect to the earlier H1 and ZEUS PDF sets, due to the improved accuracy in the combined data. The theoretical uncertainties obtained by varying the input assumptions of the fit, e.g. the charm quark mass, were also studied.

Several groups [7, 27–29] have recently replaced the inclusive H1 and ZEUS DIS data of Refs. [30] used in their PDF fits with the combined HERA data of Ref. [4]. The new HERA data are in reasonable agreement with the other data sets used in the fits. Moreover, this replacement leads to an improvement in the small-$x$ gluon and sea uncertainties, typically by the order of 20-30% (see Figure 3). At scales of $O$(GeV$^2$), the accuracy in the HERA data is better than 2%. Due to such precision, NNLO QCD corrections are needed for the most accurate interpretation of these data. The NNLO (3-loop) corrections to the PDF evolution have been calculated in Ref. [31]. In addition, the 3-loop corrections to the massless DIS coefficient functions are also known [32]. In the factorization scheme with 3 flavors in the initial state (the FFN scheme), the corrections to the heavy-quark contribution to DIS are only known up to NLO [33]. This makes an NNLO analysis of the DIS data somewhat inconsistent. The higher-order QCD corrections are partially taken into account through the massless evolution of the heavy quarks, which is employed in the zero-mass variable-flavor-number (ZMVFN) scheme. However, the ZMVFN scheme is applicable only at asymptotically large transfers $Q \gg m_h$, where $m_h$ denotes the heavy quark mass. For realistic kinematics, the exact results are generally overestimated, due to the missed power corrections to the heavy-quark production coefficient functions. This shortcoming is overcome in the general-mass variable-flavor-number (GMVFN) extensions of the

![Figure 3: Impact of the combined inclusive HERA data on the fractional gluon uncertainty. Figure taken from Ref. [28].](image-url)
ZMVFN scheme by a special modeling of the Wilson coefficients at low $Q$. In this way, the GMVFN scheme prescriptions of ACOT, Roberts-Thorne, and Thorne were obtained (cf. review of Ref. [34] and Refs. [11, 35] for the recent update of the ACOT and Thorne’s prescriptions).

The GMVFN schemes facilitate combination of the DIS and the hadron collider data in the global PDF fits. However, the GMVFN PDFs are sensitive to the specific choice of the prescription. This results in an additional uncertainty in the hard cross section predictions based on these PDFs [36]. The FFN scheme and the different prescriptions of GMVFN schemes provide an equally good description of the combined HERA data on the inclusive and semi-inclusive structure functions $F_2^c$ [37]. Meanwhile, the FFN small-$x$ gluon distribution obtained in the fit of Ref. [37] is substantially higher than gluon distribution determined in the GMVFN variants of the fit (see Figure 4), and is clearly positive at small $x$. In part, this is explained by the definition of the GMVFN PDFs; the remaining discrepancy can be considered as the PDF uncertainty due to the scheme choice. It is worth noting that the PDFs obtained in the FFN fits correspond to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme. This is also the case for the GMVFN prescription suggested by Buza-Matiounine-Smith-van Neerven (BMSN) [38] and for the FONLL prescription of Ref. [39], which contains the main BMSN features. This is not generally guaranteed for PDFs obtained within the GMVFN fit formalism [40]. However, the higher-order corrections to heavy-quark DIS production suppress the difference between the FFN and ZMVFN schemes at large $Q$ (see Ref. [41]). For the NLO case, this difference can barely be resolved by the existing data [2] and must be even smaller taking into account the NNLO corrections to the matching conditions for the 4- and 5-flavor distributions [42]. The interpretation of the heavy-quark electro-production and inclusive DIS data also depends on the heavy-quark masses values and their definition. The HERA data alone are not sensitive to the heavy-quark masses since the effect of the mass variation is compensated by changes in the PDFs [10]. The heavy quark masses can be more precisely determined in a global fit including data from other processes. Such a determination was carried out by MSTW and a set of PDFs corresponding to different values of the heavy quark masses is provided in Ref. [43].

Hadron collider data on $W/Z$ and jet production provide more information for PDF determination than are available in the DIS data alone. They provide constraints on PDFs at large factorization scales and at large parton $x$ values and help to disentangle the distributions of different PDF species. Moreover, $W/Z$ production is considered as one of the primary LHC standard candle processes [44], due to the large cross section and small experimental and theoretical uncertainties. The $W/Z$ distributions have been calculated up to NNLO accuracy [45]. The higher-order corrections of Refs. [45] are usually employed in the global PDF fits in the form of fixed $K$-factors [3, 6].
applied to each data point, and updated periodically through the fitting process. In the NNPDF fit [7], the higher order corrections are applied in each fitting iteration with the use of FastKernel tool.

Recently, precise Run II W lepton asymmetry data have become available [46]. The lepton asymmetry data disagree with other data sets used in the global fitting, particularly those from the NMC [47] and BCDMS [48], [49] experiments. The disagreement leads to a non-negligible increase in global $\chi^2$ for the global fits, and a poor agreement of the resultant predictions with the lepton asymmetry data. As a result, both CTEQ and MSTW have left the Run II lepton asymmetry data out of their latest global fits. The CTEQ and MSTW predictions, as well as those from ABKM, overshoot the data in general. CTEQ has a variant fit (CT10W) [50] in which the asymmetry data is included in the fit, but given a large weight. This is the only manner in which a reasonable $\chi^2$ can be obtained for the asymmetry data sets. The Run II lepton asymmetry analyses have been carried out by both CDF (for electron) and D0 (for both electron and muon). The CDF electron asymmetry data agree with the results from the D0 electron analysis. To make matters more complex, there is some tension between the D0 electron and muon asymmetry data sets. Thus, there is no final conclusion yet as to the impact of the Run II lepton asymmetry data. The best agreement with the data was obtained for the case of the JR PDFs (see Figure 5).

The Tevatron charge asymmetry data are sensitive to the $d$-quark distribution, and to the $d/u$ quark ratio. Therefore, the agreement might be improved due to modification of the correction for nuclear effects in deuterium, which affects the $d$-quark distribution extracted from the deuteron fixed target DIS data that have the conflict with the Run II lepton asymmetry data. A model-independent form of deuteron correction was attempted in the MSTW fit of Ref. [27]. While this correction somewhat improves the description of the Tevatron charge asymmetry data, the shape of the deuteron correction preferred by this fit cannot be justified by a reasonable nuclear model.

In Ref. [52], nuclear corrections were also fitted to the charged-lepton and neutrino DIS data in the spirit of the nuclear PDF concept [53–55]. In this manner, different PDF shapes were found for neutral-current and charged-current DIS off of an iron target. The application of the resulting nuclear PDF corrections to collider predictions is somewhat problematic. Meanwhile, the observation of Ref. [52] is based on the analysis of data from one experiment only; it therefore requires independent confirmation. In the model of Ref. [56], the various nuclear effects are considered separately, in contrast to the nuclear PDF approach. This model describes a wide set of nuclear DIS data, including the recent JLAB data for helium-3 [57]. Thus, it can be conjectured that this approach can be also reliably extrapolated to the case of deuteron targets for the benefit of interpretation of the hadron collider lepton asymmetry data.

The production of jets at high transverse momentum in hadron collisions is sensitive to the
large-$x$ gluon distribution at both the Tevatron and LHC colliders. The NNLO corrections to the hadronic jet production have not yet been calculated; therefore the jet data can be consistently used only in the NLO version of the PDF fit. However, the NNLO corrections for inclusive jet production are not expected to significantly change the cross section either in shape or normalization. For some time, the Run I jet Tevatron data have provided the main constraints on the high $x$ gluon distribution [58, 59]. The Run I data, and in particular the Run I data from D0, prefer a higher large-$x$ gluon distribution as compared to the gluon determined from fits to DIS data alone. The Run II inclusive jet data, especially those from D0, are relatively lower at high $p_T/y$, and the resultant global fits using this data alone have a lower gluon distribution at high $x$. Thus, there is some tension between the the Run I and the Run II Tevatron jet data. This tension was examined by CTEQ [60]; although some degree of tension does exist, the data sets from Run I and Run II were found to be statistically compatible with each other, with the tensions similar to that between other data sets used in the global fit. Thus, both generations (Run I and Run II) of Tevatron jet data have been kept in recent CTEQ PDF fits [50], although only the Run II data have been used in the MSTW and NNPDF global fits. The resultant high $x$ gluon distribution for CTEQ is thus larger than either MSTW or NNPDF. MSTW has also found the impact of the Run II jet data on the uncertainty in the large-$x$ gluon distribution obtained in the global PDF fits to be relatively minor (see Figure 6), while CTEQ finds the constraints to still be appreciable.

A substantial fraction of jets produced in the forward direction results from the simultaneous scattering of two pairs of partons. The rate for such processes is defined by double-parton distribution functions (dPDFs), i.e. the probability to find two partons with certain momenta inside the nucleon. Due to experimental constraints that are currently insufficient, the dPDFs are usually derived as a product of conventional collinear PDFs. However, the dPDFs obtained in such a way do not enjoy the fermion and momentum sum rules fulfilled for the dPDFs evolution equations. Addressing this shortcoming, a novel set of dPDFs was generated with the MSTW08 PDFs taken as an input [61].

For many important processes the fixed-order perturbative QCD calculations demonstrate excellent convergence [62] and in most cases the NNLO approximation is sufficient to meet the data accuracy. However, at small $x$ the perturbative corrections are unstable. In particular, the 3-loop terms in the massless DIS coefficient functions are quite large [32]. On the other hand, the small-$x$ resummation of the splitting functions and the DIS Wilson coefficients softens the small-$x$ terms and compensate to some extent the NNLO corrections [63,64]. The impact of small-$x$ resummation in DIS was examined with a variant of the NNPDF1.0 PDFs [65] fitted to the high-$Q$ part of the DIS data, and then extrapolated to the low-$Q$ region with the heavy-quark contribution calculated in the ZMVFN scheme [66]. In this way, possible deviations from the standard NLO evolution
of the inclusive HERA data were found. The effect observed is explained in Ref. [66] by the impact of small-$x$ resummation or by parton saturation [67]. In line with this observation, the GMVFN variant of the HERAPDF fit of Ref. [10] is sensitive to the low-$Q$ part of the HERA data; however the FFN variant of the fit is much more stable to the cut on $Q$. Resummation effects in DIS thus still need to be systematically explored. This is an important issue for collider phenomenology since resummation contributes to many important collider channels such as heavy-quark and the lepton pair production (cf. Ref. [68] for a recent study of the effects of resummation in direct photon production). Small-$x$ dynamics is often considered within the framework of non-collinear PDF evolution, using $k_T$ or angular ordering. The unintegrated parton distributions appear in the parton evolution with the angular ordering depending on both longitudinal and transverse variables; therefore, the calculation of final state transverse momentum distributions is better suited for this formalism. With the appearance of the combined HERA I data, a determination of the unintegrated gluon distribution was updated and found enhanced as compared to the previous determination [69].

PDF shapes cannot be calculated in perturbative QCD. Instead, they are parameterized in a model-independent way with loose constraints imposed on the high-$x$ and the low-$x$ PDF exponents, coming from quark counting rules and Regge phenomenology, respectively [2–6].

The JR PDFs are evolved starting from a scale $\mu_F^0 < 1$ GeV. In this case, the PDFs evolved to the hard process scales enjoy the asymptotic behavior defined by the leading evolution kernel singularities [71]. In a similar way, the non-singlet PDF combinations can be constrained by the infrared QCD evolution kernel [70]. An additional constraint may come from unitarity [72] and the universalities observed for the proton, photon, and diffractive structure functions [73]. In contrast, the NNPDF collaboration uses a very flexible form of PDF parameterisation, with 259 free parameters [7]. The gradient minimization methods are inapplicable for that large a number of parameters, and instead the PDFs were fitted to the data using the neural network technique. Within the neural network approach, the PDF uncertainties are calculated from the probability distribution of the neural network replicas. Since no tensions between the different data sets used in the fit were observed (see Figure 7) the standard statistical methods are employed for the NNPDF PDFs. The uncertainties in the HERAPDF, ABKM, and JR PDFs are also calculated using the standard statistical methods. For comparison, the CTEQ and MSTW collaborations apply larger tolerance factors to take into account discrepancies between the data sets used in the fit and theoretical uncertainties, such as parameterisation choices. Despite the different statistical treatments, the PDF errors provided by all groups are in qualitative agreement with each other.

Outside the kinematic region covered by the existing data, the NNPDF PDF uncertainties are larger than the other PDF uncertainties, due to the lack of prior theoretical/parameterisation constraints on the PDFs. On the other hand, the uncertainties for the JR PDFs are reduced, compared to

![Figure 7: Distribution of the $\chi^2$/NDP over the data sets used in the NNPDF2.0 fit. Figure is taken from Ref. [7].](image-url)
other fits due to additional theoretical constraints. A study of the small-$x$ sea and gluon distribution flexibility allowed by the data was also performed with the PDFs parameterized by the Chebyshev polynomials \[74, 75\]. The gluon distribution obtained in Ref. \[74\] is stable with respect to the polynomial powers used at $x \gtrsim 0.001$; at smaller $x$ the gluon distribution is unstable.

The PDFs given in Table 1 are similar, but do not completely overlap within 1$\sigma$ uncertainty bands. This discrepancy appears to be due to differences in the theoretical formalisms used in the global fits and in details of the data treatment; however, no definitive understanding has yet been reached. In addition, the treatment of the strong coupling constant $\alpha_s$ is different for the PDFs listed in

In Refs. \[2, 5, 6\] the value of $\alpha_s$ is fitted to the data simultaneously with the PDFs, while in the fits of Refs. \[3, 4, 7\] it is fixed at a value close to the world average \[76\]. For many cross sections, such as Higgs and top quark pair production, the rates are quite sensitive to the value of $\alpha_s$ used (cf. Refs. \[77–79\]). This sensitivity, and the variations in the values of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ used, results in additional uncertainties on the hard-scattering cross section predictions. For the NLO Higgs cross section benchmark, the spread of the predictions is as large as 20% (see Figure 8). Further consolidation of the predictions would make LHC predictions for the Higgs cross section more definitive. The corresponding spread for predictions at the Tevatron can also be important for the interpretation of the Fermilab collider data \[80\].

The PDF4LHC working group is carrying out a benchmarking exercise \[81\] where each PDF group has been invited to provide predictions for benchmark processes (such as the $gg \rightarrow H$iggs cross sections mentioned above (for Higgs masses of 120, 180 and 240 GeV), as well as for $W, Z$ and $t\bar{t}$ production). The predictions are to be made for the default value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$, as well as for a range of values from 0.116 to 0.118. Comparisons are available at the website \[82\], along with a prescription for the calculation of the PDF uncertainties at the LHC using a combination of the CTEQ, MSTW and NNPDF results.

The last year has seen tremendous progress on both the theoretical and the experimental fronts. In particular, the release of the combined H1+ZEUS HERA I data has led to increased precision and thus better constraints on PDFs, especially the low $x$ gluon distribution. The Tevatron Run II W-lepton asymmetry measurements have the potential to allow for improvements in the description of high $x$ quark distributions, but conflict with some of the data sets currently in use in the global fits. A resolution of the conflict, perhaps with better understanding of some of the nuclear corrections for the fixed target data that conflict with the lepton asymmetry data, is needed.

The LHC is presenting its first results for standard model cross sections. Predictions for these cross sections are available using PDFs from a number of fitting groups. It is useful and important at this stage of LHC running to have benchmark comparisons of the predictions from the various
PDF groups. Such an exercise has been carried out by the PDF4LHC working group. Further standardization would be extremely useful, for example the adoption of the world average value for $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ and a common estimate of its uncertainty.

At the next DIS workshop, we expect our first inputs from LHC data to PDF fitting. To significantly affect the existing PDF fits, the systematic errors must be reasonably small and well-known for the measurements to be included. The most likely cross sections to be used in such a way are Drell-Yan measurements, especially of $W$ and $Z$ boson production, with event yields of the order of a million events per experiment to be expected for $1 \, fb^{-1}$, and with the possibility of accessing new kinematic regions in $x$ and $Q^2$ [84–86].
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