

your name(s) \_\_\_\_\_

Physics 852 Quiz #18 - Friday, April. 3rd

## Chirality

Consider the chirality operator,

$$\gamma_5 = i\gamma_0\gamma_x\gamma_y\gamma_z = i\beta\beta\alpha_x\beta\alpha_y\beta\alpha_z = -i\alpha_x\alpha_y\alpha_z. \quad (0.1)$$

1. Show that  $\gamma_5$  is Hermitian.
2. Show that  $\gamma_5^2 = \mathbb{I}$ .
3. What are the eigenvalues of  $\gamma_5$
4. Show that  $(1 + \gamma_5)/2$  and  $(1 - \gamma_5)/2$  are projection operators.
5. Show that  $\gamma_5$  commutes with the Hamiltonian for massless particles,

$$H = \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p},$$

but does not commute with  $H$  if a mass term

$$H_M = \beta m$$

is added.

6. Write  $\gamma_5$  in the chiral representation.
7. "Prove" that

$$\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k \alpha_l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ijl} \alpha_i \alpha_j.$$

You can use the fact that  $\sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k$  is rotationally invariant.

8. For massless particles, the Dirac equation is

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{p}) u_{\vec{p},s} &= u_{\vec{p},s} \\ (\vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{p}) v_{-\vec{p},s} &= -v_{-\vec{p},s}. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting the information above, show that for massless particles,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_5 u_{\vec{p},s} &= \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p}) u_{\vec{p},s}, \\ \gamma_5 v_{-\vec{p},s} &= -\frac{1}{2} (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p}) v_{-\vec{p},s}. \end{aligned}$$

**Comment:** In the standard model the weak interaction couples only to neutrinos of a given chirality, e.g. the terms coupling to neutrinos appears as  $(1 - \gamma_5)\gamma_\mu\Psi(x)$ . The operator  $\gamma_5$  has odd parity, so the operator  $(1 - \gamma_5)$  mixes even and odd parity maximally. Thus, in the famous experiment of Chien-Shiung Wu [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chien-Shiung\\_Wu](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chien-Shiung_Wu), the direction of neutrinos (a vector) lined up with the direction of the magnetic field (a pseudo vector) thus demonstrating that in the weak interaction the choice of right-handed vs. left-handed coordinate systems is no longer arbitrary, and represents a striking violation of parity conservation.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \gamma_5 = -i \alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z, \quad \gamma_5^\dagger = i \alpha_z^\dagger \alpha_y^\dagger \alpha_x^\dagger = i \alpha_z \alpha_y \alpha_x \\ = i \alpha_y \alpha_x \alpha_z = -i \alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z \\ = \gamma_5 \quad \checkmark$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \gamma_5^2 = -\alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z \alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z \\ = -\alpha_y \alpha_z \alpha_y \alpha_z \\ = +1$$

\textcircled{3} eigenvalues must be  $\pm 1$  because  $\gamma_5^2 = 1$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\gamma_5^2}{4} + \frac{2\gamma_5}{4} = \left( \frac{1 + \gamma_5}{2} \right) \checkmark \\ P^2 = P$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad [\gamma_5, \alpha_x] = -i \alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z \alpha_x + i \alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z \\ = -i \alpha_y \alpha_z + i \alpha_y \alpha_z = 0$$

must be true for  $\alpha_y, \alpha_z$  also

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \gamma_5 = -i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_x & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_z \end{pmatrix} \\ = -i \cdot i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_z & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

7. "Prove" that

$$\frac{1}{3!} \sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k \alpha_l = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ijl} \alpha_i \alpha_j.$$

You can use the fact that  $\sum_{ijk} \epsilon_{ijk} \alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k$  is rotationally invariant.

Choose  $l = x$ , so must prove

$$\frac{1}{3!} (\alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z + \alpha_y \alpha_z \alpha_x + \alpha_z \alpha_x \alpha_y - \alpha_y \alpha_x \alpha_z - \alpha_x \alpha_z \alpha_y - \alpha_z \alpha_y \alpha_x) \alpha_x$$

all terms the same

$$= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_y \alpha_z - \alpha_z \alpha_y)$$

$$\alpha_x \alpha_y \alpha_z \alpha_x \stackrel{?}{=} \alpha_y \alpha_z$$

2 places

$$\alpha_y \alpha_z \stackrel{?}{=} \alpha_z \alpha_y$$

if true for  $l=x$   
must be true for  
all  $l$  by  
rotational  
invariance

Q. 9.

From (7)  $\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \alpha_x = \sum_x$

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{p} = \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{p} u_s(\vec{p}) = (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p}) u_s(\vec{p})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 u_s(\vec{p}) = (\vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p}) u_s(\vec{p})$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 \vec{\alpha} \cdot \hat{p} v_s(\vec{p}) = \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p} v_s(\vec{p})$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 v_s(-\hat{p}) = \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p} v_s(\vec{p})$$

OR

$$\frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 v_s(+\vec{p}) = \vec{\Sigma} \cdot \hat{p} v_s(+\vec{p})$$